UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

+ + + + +

NATIONAL ORGANIC STANDARDS BOARD SYMPOSIUM

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 2006

+ + + + +

+ + + + +

The symposium met in the Ramada Conference Center, 1450 South Atherton Street, State College, PA, at 8:00 a.m., Robert Anderson, Facilitator, presiding.

PANEL MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROBERT ANDERSON
BLAKE ALEXANDRE
KATHIE ARNOLD
JON BANSEN
BRIAN MCELROY
MARYELLEN MOLYNEAUX
JOHN STALLEY
ROMAN STOLTZFOOS
ALBERT STRAUS
JUAN S. VELEZ
MARGARET WITTENBURG
ED ZIMBA
LESLIE ZUCK

Facilitator
Humboldt Creamery
H.P. Hood
Organic Valley
CCOF
NMI Solutions
Oregon Tilth
Natural by Nature
Straus Family Creamery
Aurora Organic Dairy
Whole Foods
Horizon Organic Dairy
PA Certified Organic

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

KEVIN O'RELL Chair Vice Chair ANDREA CAROE BEA JAMES Secretary GERALD DAVIS Member Member RIGOBERTO DELGADO KEVIN ENGELBERT Member Member DAN GIACOMINI Member JENNIFER HALL HUBERT KARREMAN Member Member JEFF MOYER NANCY OSTIGUY Member JOE SMILLIE Member Member JULIE WEISMAN

STAFF:

MARK BRADLEY BARBARA ROBINSON VALERIE FRANCES

	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
INTRODUCTORY REM Robert Anderson	IARKS:	4
Blake Alexandre Juan Velez Kathie Arnold Roman Stoltzfoos Albert Straus	ESENTATIONS:	
NOSB QUESTIONS:		51
Brian McElroy	RTIFYING AGENTS:	102/108
Margaret Wittenb	ONS AND PERCEPTIONS AND PERCEP	157
NOSB/USDA QUESTI	<u>CONS</u> :	187
CLOSING REMARKS/ Robert Anderson	ADJOURN:	206

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

8:02 a.m.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Okay. We will get started momentarily. Good morning. Good morning, everyone and welcome to day two of the dairy symposium. We've got some funny feedback here. Is that okay? And this morning especially I think is a great time for us, because we're going to hear from the people who are actually on the land raising the animals, milking the cows, making the products and ultimately the folks who will be most impacted by whatever decisions are made here today.

So I would like to announce an agenda change. We are planning to -- it seemed that these folks many of them traveled halfway or all the way across the country and to have just five minutes just didn't seem right. Now, we don't have an awful lot of time, extra time, but I have modified the agenda a bit this morning. So from 8:00 to

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

9:15, including questions, will be the farmer presentations.

At 9:15, we will swap. The certifiers will come up from 9:15 to 10:15, including questions. We will take a break, 15 minute break. And then we will come back 10:30 to 11:30 with the consumer section. And at 11:30 to 12:00, we'll just have a wrap-up. So I can't tell from where I am if you guys can hear me well or not. Okay. Good. Okay.

Well, then without further ado, we have an order here to the agenda and I would like to start with Jon Bansen from Organic Valley.

PANELIST BANSEN: I appreciate the chance to give the farmer point of view on all of the pasture issues. Just a little background on myself. I'm a third generation dairy farmer and a third generation grazer. I would like to say that I'm an organic dairy farmer, because I graze. That's an important part is I'm an organic dairy farm, because I

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

graze. Not the other way around.

What grazing does is it great health benefits for our cows in hoof health, breeding health, reproductive health, low death rate and cull rate. And, you know, I would just like to go on the record to say believe that a low cull rate that Ι absolutely vital to what we do. Low cull rate means you have a health bunch of cows and that's what we're in the business to provide a healthy product for healthy consumers. fully believe that the healthier cow is going to give you better quality milk.

We rotationally graze 180 to 210 cows over the grazing season. We get six months of full graze where it provides about 75 percent of the cows diet and two other months of the year we get, approximately, 50 percent of the cows diet off of that grazing. They are on a 16 day We rotate our cows. round, 16 days it's management every intensive grazing, and that's also another

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

important aspect in grazing is to manage the grass.

You heard talk yesterday about the quality of grass and stubble heights and all that, you know. It's all about the quality of the grass as far as going into those cows keeping those cows healthy. It needs to be vegetative grass, not just grass out there in any various stage.

One of the great benefits we have from the grazing is we always have extra animals to sell off our herd and that in turn aids the bottom line of our farm and this is really all about sustainability and that means economic sustainability as well. And that's a big part of our organic dairy farm.

What has been going on in the pasture issue with organics is a little bit like the duck that walks in to the grocery store and goes up to the clerk and he says you got any duck food? And the clerk looks at him and says no, I don't have any duck food and we

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

don't serve ducks. Get out of here. And so the next day the same duck in the store he comes. He looks up at the same clerk and says you got any duck food? And the clerk says I told you yesterday we don't have any duck food. We don't serve ducks. Get out of here and if you show up again I'm going to nail your darn little feet to the floor.

Well, the third day the duck shows back up again, looks up at the clerk and says you got any nails? The clerk says no. He says you got any duck food? And you know, really right now, the certifiers don't have any nails, you know, that's what it comes down to. You know, people do not have to dairy —do not have organic dairy with their cows grazing, because the certifiers do not have nails in their little bag.

It all comes down to intent. You know, intent is the key word here. We all do know what a dairy looks like that grazes their cows. It takes five minutes for any one of us

NEAL R. GROSS

farmers to walk onto a farm to understand that it's a grass-based dairy and that's a very important aspect. You know, it's about integrity for our consumers, to our consumers, so they understand where their milk is coming from, that it is coming off of a grass-based area and that it is an important factor with the consumers of our products.

It's also about compliance and intent of the dairy farmer. And I hope if you come back with one word from what I say here, the word is intent, because that's what it's really all about. This is not about size. It's not a discussion about scale. I've seen a 6,000 cow dairy before where they had it broken into three 2,000 cow units, each with their own milking parlor where they grazed and grazed heavily.

It's also not about regions. This isn't about hitting east versus west. It's not about irrigation versus non-irrigation. We irrigate on our farm. We irrigate for

NEAL R. GROSS

about four months out of the year. This also comes to the intent part, because if you live in west of the Rockies, in the arid part, summer arid part of the country, you must have irrigation if you're going to be a grazer.

And if you are going to be an organic dairy farmer and you need to graze, you better have irrigation. That's intent to set up a dairy farm on the west side of the Rockies without irrigation, the intent is not there to be a grazer.

Organic Valley fully supports NOSB recommendations, full supports them, and we would like some clarification on the wording on the stage of production. You know, what's there would really work if it just gave the certifiers some nails. Organic Valley has 568 farmers in 22 states and we feel, every region feels, that we can easily meet those guidelines of the 30 percent in 120 days.

And let me say that 30 percent in 120 days should be a guideline, a minimum

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

guideline, minimum. You know, once a person has the intent to graze, they will graze more than 120 days. If the intent is there, that's the fuel that fires most all of the organic dairy farms that I know that are successful.

The clarification must be common sense and as simple as possible to be implemented. Certifiers are people too and dairy farmers are people too and if it's too darn difficult, it becomes really a nightmare. We support the three cow maximum per acre and that would be a good way to keep abuses from happening. As we heard yesterday, as far as putting 100 cows on 10 acres, that would be that nail.

I'm not so sure we need the 30 percent and have to do the math every day as far as what our dry matter intake is, because again it's all about intent. I really don't care so much about whether it is 28 percent or 75 percent. We all know what a dairy farm looks like that grazes. If it has feathers,

NEAL R. GROSS

if it walks like a duck, if it quacks like a duck, it probably is a duck.

And just to finish up, I was going through the airport on my way here, an airport screener said when she say my Organic Valley shirt we struck up a conversation, she said she had seen the article in the newspaper the day before about this issue. Without me prompting, without me saying what I do on my farm, she said she really hoped that the USDA would clarify and tighten the pasture rule. Thank you very much.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Jon, where is your farm? Where is your farm? Where is your farm?

PANELIST BANSEN: Oh, my farm is located in Monmouth, Oregon, which is about an hour south of Portland.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Great.

Thank you. Next up, Blake Alexandre, Humboldt

Creamery.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: Than you. First of all, I want to thank everybody. I want to thank whoever asked me to be here. I consider it an honor and a privilege to be here today and to talk to you and be able to talk about what we do and to talk about Humboldt Creamery does. And we have prepared some slides. My wife prepared some slides, so I'm going to go through them with you.

Up there is basically the label that we use at Humboldt Creamery. Humboldt Creamery is in extreme northern California, right on the coast, and that's where I grew up and that's where we dairy. And that picture is generally what we put on all of our organic products, whether it's ice cream or bottled milk or the cartons, but that's the logo, that's the look and that's the image that is very common throughout all natural food stores in the United States. You all know what I'm talking about and you all know that's, I believe, why we're here.

NEAL R. GROSS

We're going to move on to our dairy. Our dairy is, what we call Alexandre Family Ecodairy Farms, really owned by Stephanie and I, my wife and I and our kids. I've got a background in Ferndale, but we started up north, about 100 miles north in Crescent City or Del Norte County and so we actually dairy in two counties. That's a picture of our cows. We have a mixed herd of cows.

We're going to -- I'm going to give you some statistics, basically, on our herd. We have 4,500 acres of usable organic certified pasture and when I say usable, that's the net acres that we use. Of that, about 3,800 is irrigated and so we generally irrigate everything we have out there. We get a lot of rainfall, but it doesn't come in equal amounts throughout the year. We get it all in the winter and we're over 100 inches already.

We have 3,300 mature organic cows,

NEAL R. GROSS

counting milking cows and dry cows, and we milk those at three locations or in three barns in the two counties and it tends to work. Of those cows, we take good care of them. We test every cow every year for Johne's. Years ago when we started, we had 4 percent Johne's infection rate and we're at less than a half a percent now.

I'm going to just keep working through this and we have 3,600 organic young stock right now and growing. The reason we have a lot of young stock is because we intend to milk more cows. I don't know how many more, but we're going to get a little larger. There is still a lot of opportunity out there. There is a heck of a milk market and there is a demand for it.

We milk two times a day, because we have learned that three times a day doesn't work with grazing. It's really difficult to get large groups of cows in and out of the pastures. They just don't have time to go to

and from the barn three times a day and to graze and to socialize and eat and do all those things they need to do.

We graze on pasture 300 days a year, approximately. So we are fortunate, we are blessed with good weather, extremely wet seasons. This year has been particularly wet in the winter, but we are in and out all the time. In terms of our cows go out if it gets real stormy, we lock them in and we supplement feed them with grass silage that we have harvested the year before.

We started last week grazing twice a day, so we will graze twice a day now for the next eight months. Of course, the day we started it rained three inches, so we stopped the next day. But generally, we depend on grass. I looked back at what we used to do and the three X milking, for instance. I went to college to learn how to be a dairyman and I went to Cal Poly where they teach us to be a herdsmen on a large California dairy with an

NEAL R. GROSS

endless supply of money.

And so I went home and tried to apply those principles and we milked three times a day and we used BST and we did all the high yield production agriculture that we were taught to do. And I have shifted gears from About eight there. years ago enlightened and I went a different direction, because that system was going nowhere and I've got a bunch of kids and I want my kids to grow up on a farm in our neighborhood that is a viable option. So when they go away to college and meet their spouse, that coming home to our neighborhood is truly a viable option.

And for me and Stephanie, that's what this is all about. It was really trying to mold our life and our dairy into something that would compete, I guess, with the rest of the dairy industry in the United States, because that's what we're up against. So organic marketing that niche is what we chose

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and that's the path that we're on and that's exactly why we're on it.

When I look back at our numbers last year, the 65 -- the 0 to 65 percent, this is how I calculate what we do on our large scale basis and I think I'm within a percent just did the numbers and I quickly. I looked at every -- all the hay we bought last year and all the purchased grain or total purchased feed last year and minerals and did a little math. And what I learned was that we averaged 32 percent for the year on 365 days now of our forage came from pasture and that ranged from 0 to 65 percent. So that's what those numbers are showing us up there.

Last year, we sold or only sold 46 pounds of milk per cow per day. We raised a lot of calves and the calves drink a lot of that milk, so we're short there. We had some low quality silage. Cull rates less that 25 percent. Cull rate is just the cows that have

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to leave the herd that I don't want to leave the herd and they move -- we need to move on.

We're going to run out of time.

The next slide. Humboldt Creamery, we decided that we support the 120 days pasture minimum. We support the 30 percent dry matter intake and we would also support some sort of cows per acre parameter if that's what needs to be.

In simple terms, I would like to say that we support the rules and the efforts that have already been made. And, you know, the guidelines that were set forth last year in the March meeting in Washington, D.C. are totally adequate if we could just get the certifiers to, you know, use the nails and put them in there. And it's unfortunately that it's not happening.

Could we go forward? This is a picture of the Ferndale Valley. I would like to point that out. That's -- the dairy right in the center is the dairy that we graze cows

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

on. My family has for over 80 years and that's our background. That was started by my great grandfather. I'm fourth generation. I own that place and run cows there and we're the first ones to do it organically. And we've been doing management intensive grazing there now for 15 years. And that's the key.

That's -- the key is we've got to To me, when we got into manage our soils. this organic and when I first learned about organics, it's all about the soils. It's mineralizing the soils. It's knowing your biology in the soils and it is working with the biology and it's doing things that support that biology and that life in the soil, because those are the little guys, the little armies out there working for us every day that are taking the nutrients to the plant to grow nutrient dense food, to grow -- to feed to our cows that make better cow health and that, you know, in turn makes better food for us, that we have better human health.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Let's jump through these slides real quick. Pasture and human health. There's all kinds of statistics. I have attached to the handout that I gave you the supporting data for why milk is better for you in terms of the CLA content, beta-carotene, which I haven't heard mentioned yet yesterday, vitamins A, B12, vitamins E, trans-vaccenic acid, the omega-3s, lutein and cows on grass are actually cleaner and probably freer from E. Coli and there is supporting data in there.

We're going to move on. We need to be in balance with nature. We need to be in balance with our environment and what we have done at our dairy is a lot of work with the wildlife. We've got 20,000 geese that we have been feeding for months and they eat a lot of feed. We've got one more slide I need to get through here.

My favorite saying of this whole talk consumers -- let's move on. The consumers, what rights do they really have

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

here? Well, I came up with a thought when I was preparing this. The consumers have a right to get what they think they are getting. I think I would sum it up with that. And it's up to us here, it's up to you folks, it's up to you folks over on the left from the NOP to enforce and to give the consumers, you know, the product that they want and the product that they think they are getting.

We have already done the marketing and we just need to support that and follow through with our rules and the enforcement of our rules and those nails that we use to hold their feet to the floor. And final here, I've got that slide there. It's kind of a little famous picture my son took a year ago last February. And that's an example of we can't get them to do everything right. Well, that's not a perfect pasture. It's full of weeds and I couldn't get them to eat those weeds no matter how hungry we got them.

But anyhow, that's just kind of a

NEAL R. GROSS

neat picture we end with. So thank you very much.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Thank you, Blake. Juan Velez.

MS. FRANCES: I just want to remind folks about the cards for asking questions. I passed out white cards today. I meant to mention this earlier. For dairy, for milk and just encourage you to submit your questions and answers and, you know, put your hand up and I'll come running. I enjoy doing it, so, please, ask your questions.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Juan is from Aurora Organic Dairy.

PANELIST VELEZ: Thank you. Good morning, I'm Juan Velez, not to be confused with my relative Juan Velez from Columbia, and I want to talk a little bit about the role of organic pasture in animal welfare. What creates animal welfare? We strongly believe that animal welfare is created by the holistic

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

management of the entire dairy herd, especially across five key areas. Those key areas are the diet and the nutrition, overall cow comfort, the prevention of diseases, and the management skills in animal husbandry of the people interacting with the cows and that beneficial interaction of humans and animals, that over the entire year, 24/7, 365 days.

So a balanced nutrition is key not only during a period of time, but during the entire lactation and dry period of that cow. And a balanced nutrition, a very key factor on the balanced nutrition is the forage content of that diet and that forage can come from several sources, pasture being one of them, alfalfa hay, grass hay, silages, haylages, depending on the area of the country we can have a lot of variation.

But during those periods of time where the forage is not optimum, is not perfect, where the grass is not the most adequate, where we have to balance the total

NEAL R. GROSS

ration for that animal, according to the different stages of lactation, we have to balance it then for energy, protein, vitamins and minerals and again make sure that there is enough total fiber in the rations of the animal as a ruminant can function correctly.

It's also very important that we take into consideration the total dry matter intake of that animal. The diet could be well balanced, it could have a lot of grass or a lot of forage, but if the animal doesn't like it, if it's not palatable, if you change the moisture content too much, you will not provide it at the right time after milking, etcetera, she may not get enough of the dry matter.

So we look at this slide for a little while. This is just a recent review of the literature by Jesse Goff, Dr. Jesse Goff from the USDA Animal Disease Center in Ames, Iowa. Very, very nice slide of the review of how complicated animal nutrition, especially

NEAL R. GROSS

dairy nutrition has become. Now, we have asked that cow to do a lot of things that we didn't ask before. The minute that we domesticated that cow and took that calf away from her and started milking her, we changed the whole aspect of how she uses nutrients.

So if we look at the increase in the dry matter intake, especially around calving, it could create a whole cascade of events that jeopardize the well-being of that animal. You know, positive energy balance is going to decrease. A negative energy balance is going to decrease the immune system, is going to lead to mastitis, mastitis is going to decrease dry matter intake even farther.

If we have a lame cow, it's going to eat less, so the interrelationship between all these factors and these balanced nutrients is huge if we want to really think about the well-being of the animal during 365 days a year, not during a short period of time.

So I want to talk about, you know,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

how can we measure animal welfare? How measurable is animal welfare? How can we quantify that, especially for area that have been very well documented and have been proposed to be key factors in animal welfare, especially by, you know, Dr. Temple Grandin, body condition score being one of them, locomotion score from a scale of 1 to 5, 1 being normal and 5 being a cow that cannot even walk at all.

looking at The cow comfort the facilities especially talked about we yesterday the way that the cow budget their providing time during the day the as environment for that cow to lay down and chew her cud, ruminate so that she comfortable so that we can accommodate also according to the climate. You know, we're in the middle of August in Texas or in the middle of February in Canada, we got to help that cow be comfortable, so that she can perform those functions talking that about we were

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

yesterday.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And, of course, somatic cell So measures of animal welfare are count. quantifiable and they can lead to very clear enforceable organic production standards. Aurora Organic Dairy relies on third-party independent audits of animal welfare measurements for our farms and these are done by USDA process verified auditors and ISO approved auditors as well.

Aurora Organic Dairy supports similar metrics and audits for the NOP animal welfare standards across the entire year. is this done? Create a list of parameters that we believe are very important on -- to measure animal welfare across the board. the producer could receive numerical scores in every of these key areas and uses results to monitor ongoing audits and improve the operation and the animal well-being.

The NOP should conduct similar fact-based audits of animal welfare to ensure

certifiability, verification of ongoing compliance and the enforceability of those rules. Obviously, we have heard yesterday, we have heard today, I agree 100 percent that pasture is one of the many important elements contributing to animal welfare and it should taken into that federal be account production standard accommodate must viability between farms, geography, climate and also the variability in the pasture and the pasture composition and the quality. lot of talk about that yesterday. The variation is humongous across -- even across different pastures on the same farm.

So the organic -- the role of the Organic System Plan is unique to each organic operation. We know that there is a need for critical -- the need for those critical decisions about pasture and animal welfare need to be made at the farm level by the farm man and the certifier with the Organic System Plan specifically for that farm.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So pasture, obviously, helps create good animal welfare as one part of the entire Holistic Management Plan. Pasture must be managed for a long-term sustainability in each geography and climate. In summary, I want to say that Aurora Organic Dairy supports an NOP pasture rule in which animal welfare is the highest priority. Measurable indicators are needed to improve verification, enforceability continual and ensure improvement on animal welfare during 365 days a year.

What consumers expect is to know that organic operations raise our animal with high standards of animal care and welfare with pasture being one of the several contributors. In my opinion, that's what really will separate us from -- one other issue that will completely separate us from conventional is making sure that we got certification that are animals are well being taken care of during 365 days a year.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And muchos gracias. I'm very, very, very proud to have been invited to this symposium. I think it is the beginning of a very scientific future for organic agriculture. Thank you.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Juan, you're in Colorado, correct? Kathie Arnold from H. P. Hood and New York State.

PANELIST ARNOLD: Hello. First, I would just like to say thank you to Barbara and Mark and all of the NOP staff for issuing the ANPR and for all the work that that entails and for putting on this wonderful symposium, because I know it's a tremendous amount of work and it's just very gratifying to know that with the ANPR out there, that that is a concrete step to move us to rule change relative to pastures. So thank you very much.

And before I start to actually talk about our own operation in central New

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

York about just a little over four hours northeast of here, I want to address some of the questions that were floating around yesterday that didn't really seem to be fully answered and I'll just attempt to answer them in the way I can.

is the question about this scientific call for evidence regarding pasture. We must remember that the National Organic Program is not under the wing of the National Academy of Sciences. It's under the auspices the Agricultural Marketing of Services. It's not a science-based program, although many of the standards do indeed have scientific validity, but it's a marketing program. And to be a savvy marketing program, we need to be listening to the wants and desires of our consumers.

Yesterday, George Kuepper's chart that he had listing what organic consumers want showed that rated above environmental concerns was helpfulness at an 80 percent

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

rating. With pasture-based organic milk products consumers will be getting a more helpful nutritious product.

And in terms of H. P. Hood and the Stonyfield milk, there is an 800 number on the side of the carton and just so far in 2006, 50 of the calls that they have received have had questions or something, comments relative to pasture or grass fed.

then I also do And want to highlight the two nationally representative independent surveys just and that were reported on last week. A survey of 1,011 U.S. adults commissioned by the Center for Food Safety found that 6 out of 10 women who buy organic milk and 5 out of 10 of all organic milk purchasers would no longer do so if they knew that many organic cows were confined to fenced in feed lots and did not graze pasture for most of their lives.

Second, more than two-thirds of all consumers and 75 percent of women in the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Consumers' Union survey, of 1,485 U.S. online adults, said that the national organic standards should require that animals graze outdoors. And lastly, when asked specifically in the Consumers' Union survey if they would still pay a premium price for organic milk that came from cows that were confined indoors and did not graze, 60 percent said they would not.

There was a reference yesterday to milk urea nitrogen levels being too high in pastured cows and we have tested for that before and our nutritionist found that that was not a case in our herd, even though we use large amounts of pasture in our herds' diet. And it has to be remembered that with the minimum 30 percent requirement, that still allows up to 70 percent feeding of forages and grains other than pasture. If someone can't balance a ration with that kind of allowance for other supplemental feeds, then apparently they don't have the experience and knowledge

NEAL R. GROSS

of how to do it, because it is being done time and time again all across the U.S. with healthy cows and over one year after another.

Α question kept popping up yesterday about what about the other 245 days? The suggested requirement for 120 days, like said, it's minimum. Jon а The NOSB's recommended guidance on pasture stated that the 30 percent dry matter intake be for the growing season, but not less than 120 days. Our cows on our farm are on pasture for a minimum of 180 days and often up to 200 or 210 days a year.

The question of geographic variation came up and whether these minimums are achievable around the country. I would like to read this statement from David and Kayla Roberts from Preston, Idaho. "We are strongly in favor of maximizing the time and nutrition that organic cows get on pasture. Grazing is integral to organic and not just an optional management practice to be implemented

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

when there are favorable conditions.

family and I milk about 170 200 including dry dairy cows, cows, southeastern Idaho. Since 1992, our milking cows, dry cows and replacement heifers have been rotationally grazed on, approximately, 300 acres of pasture. In a typical year, we are able to graze our milking herd from mid-April through the first part of November. Other two week transition at than а beginning and ending of our pasture season when we feed some dry hay, our cows receive 100 percent of their forage from pastures during the growing season.

Before we started pasturing our cows in 1992, they were confined in a free stall facility year round. Our cows are healthier and live much longer than our cows did in confinement. We believe there is enough of an advantage for our cows that we take extra measures to let them access pasture for as much of their lives as we can.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

For example, during the growing we milk cows in two separate milk barns so we can have enough pasture for every for the entire This is COW season. inefficient from the labor and facility standpoint, but the benefit to the cows makes it worth it for us. Also, our cows per acre ratio is intentionally designed so that all of our cows are able to meet their forage needs during the slowest grass growing times of the year.

We harvest excess grass during the other times. This allows us to have the cows on grass for more days each year. We definitely believe our area of the country is a great place to pasture cows, even though large confinement operations are multiplying rapidly. We also believe that the confusion and lack of clarity about access to pasture had a big opportunity cost for our family dairy. Only recently were we able to secure a market for organic milk for our dairy after

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

seeking a market for several years.

Lacking the capital to create our market, we were patient, but often own frustrated hearing about growing demand for organic milk being filled in part by some cows without real access to pasture. We believe specific requirements for pasturing that organic dairy cows may prevent the situation from happening to others." And again, David and Kayla Roberts, Preston, Idaho.

question of whether As the there does need specificity to be more concerning pasture in the rule, absolutely. The fact that there are now herds of organic cows who do not graze routinely during the growing season shows that the current rule wording is inadequate. But 120 days is not enough. Stocking rate is very variable and difficult to use. It's variable from season to season, from spring to fall, from farm to farm and even within a farm.

I know on our own farm we have

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

some land we can get twice as much yield as
our poorest land. The only means that seems
to me that would work across the board to
provide a base level is minimum dry matter
intake. As to our own farm, we had two years
of confinement in the early '90s and, at that
point, we were at a 22,000 pound herd average.
We went to management intensive grazing and
the four year average we had changed to that
production system, we were still at 22,000.
So there's no means that grazing is going to
reduce your production.
So I had more about my own
specific farm, but I thank you very much for
your time.
, ·

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Thank you, Kathie.

PANELIST ARNOLD: You're welcome.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Now, I'll call on Roman Stoltzfoos, Natural by Nature, Pennsylvania Farming.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST STOLTZFOOS: Good morning. I thank you for inviting me and I would like to speak on behalf of Natural by Nature, which gets their milk supply from Lancaster Organic Farmers Co-op, of which I'm a member. For myself, we milk 140 cows, my wife and I, and there's 10 children still at home, so we have a pretty good labor supply. We keep and feed 80 heifers and do 8,000 turkeys organic and some laying Everything on the farm is organic.

There is 400 plus acres in the operation. It's definitely a family farm. When we started doing organic milk in 1995, the price was \$17 we were getting paid and the regular milk price was \$13 to \$14.50, in that neighborhood. So you can see the advantage that we enjoy today over the regular milk price is, I believe, because of the perception that the consumer has about organic milk being grass fed.

And we have a motto on our farm

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that goes something like this. You give the consumer what they want and they will give you what you want and that's a fair price for the product. And in our co-op discussion my recent price for organic milk was over \$31, that's all inclusive, and even at that, you know, costs have gone up dramatically in the last few years, so we are just slightly better than we were back in 1995 with milk at \$17 or \$18.

But we believe that the organic milk got that way because it was very much in opposition to the conventional supply which had cows on confinement. They were fed all diets and stored feed and other things that a lot of consumers did not trust and I'm concerned that we keep the image that grazing has earned and organic has earned through requiring grazing and I really believe that the 30 percent dry matter and the 120 days is maybe not perfect, but it is somewhere we can start and it's easily doable.

NEAL R. GROSS

Our cows are on pasture over 340 days a year. I mean, there is only a few days that they are in and then about two months we keep them in at night. Most times the gate is open, they can come in, and you would be surprised at what kind of weather it takes to keep them in. I mean, if the gate is open, they will generally go out sometime during the day, almost no matter what the weather is.

So and what was said about cull rates and the science needed, I feel like how many farmers are good science? I mean, there is 500 plus farmers in America that have seen the benefits of organic milk. My veterinarian is sitting here on the NOSB, Hugh Karreman, and he could testify that making a living on cows fed grass is tough, because they are not sick enough to keep a veterinarian busy.

(Applause)

PANELIST STOLTZFOOS: So all the hoopla about animal welfare is that much if you graze your cows. It depends on what you

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

need. If you've got them out in pasture, what better welfare could you offer your cows? If that's where they want to be and that's what they do naturally, I can't imagine how you could improve on that, but I do know that Whole Foods and some of the larger retailers are now requiring something that organic hasn't asked for.

I mean, they want to know exactly what is being done and how it is being done. And I'm selling some turkeys to them to this coming year and you would be interested to hear some of the questions they ask about that. And I think it's coming for dairy, too, so we can stay ahead of them if we keep doing what we were doing and apply it consistently. And that's my message. I thank the NOP for considering this.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Thank you,
Roman. Albert Straus, Straus Family Creamery.

PANELIST STRAUS: Thank you. I

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

just want to talk first, I'm from Marshall, California, which is on the west coast on Highway 1 above San Francisco. I just want to give you a little bit of history. We have -- my father started the farm in 1941. We were the first -- I transitioned the dairy to organic in 1993 and we were the first certified organic dairy and creamery in the western United States.

I feel dwarfed by all the -- my competition. I'm the smallest company represented here. We have three dairies that supply us our own, plus two others, and so compared to the 500 plus dairies and what most of these other people do, and we are 100 percent organic.

We do have tours of our dairy throughout the spring and summer and fall and we have done that probably for 20 or 25 years and we have been very environmentally active to preserve farming in our county. My mother started the first Agricultural Land Trust in

the nation and we preserved about 40,000 acres in perpetuity, they will say in agriculture. Now, we're working on keeping it in productive agriculture and we're having a lot of interest in going organic, and so I think it's a hopeful time.

So anyway, I want to go into my prepared text. I wanted to thank all the NOSB and the NOP for their hard work on this issue appreciate my ability to voice comments on the issue. I'm an organic dairy farmer on the north coast of California. farm became certified -- some repetitive. We became certified organic in 1993 and we began processing organic dairy products from the We have, approximately, farm in 1994. 300 cows on 60 660 acres.

We agree that pasture is an important aspect of dairy nutrition and that dairy animals should have regular access to nutritional pasture and the outdoors, including pasture land that is not productive.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We feel that pasture is not only a nutritional source, but also important for sunlight and exercise in reducing stress in dairy cows.

Even though our farms are green until summer, early summer, our cows have access to them year round, except after heavy rains and when it would cause erosion of the soil. We support the use of the National Resources Conservation Service conservation practice standards for prescribing grazing for proper conservation methods.

Our main concern is in the regards quidance of the text in the NOSB for interpretation of 205.239(a), Organic System The Organic System Plan shall have the goal of providing grazing feed greater than 30 percent dry matter intake on a daily basis during the growing season, but not less than 120 days. concerned that these We are suggested guidelines for dry matter have not come from on-farmed research, from the very

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

regions across the country.

For example, on our farm, our area gets, approximately, three to four months of productive pasture each year. The pasture builds, peaks and then drops off by June. We do not irrigate and could not if we wanted to, as our water supply could not sustain it. NOSB suggested dry matter requirements bring up issues that which must be considered:

1) suggested The amount of matter figures are hard to obtain and verify. Different grasses have varied amounts fields will moisture. Different contain different moisture different grasses and levels, often changing daily with the weather. The amount of rain in each region also affects the amount of grass available. matter contained in each variation is difficult to verify. Suggested calculations on stored feed use per year may not accurate convey dry matter values in pasture.

2) The suggested amounts are not

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

based on actual nutritional realities or needs of the animal. We need to base these from on-farm guidelines research and numbers, rather than arbitrate figures based on a single region. We suggest we work on and bovine research together with farmers nutritionists.

are not counterproductive. The only way to meet pasture requirements in some regions is to irrigate. We would then be supporting an unsustainable system that overuses a limited water resource. We should be careful not to defeat the purpose of having a sustainable agricultural system.

To be able to verify that pasture is a significant part of an organic cows diet, I am suggesting the following: I would have a license or practicing nutritionist balance a rationale for each dairy by groups of cows. We can verify the quantities of feed by requiring an inventory of those feeds grown on

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the farm and by those purchased off-farm. In this way, a certifier can verify the amount of pasture that the cows are eating. A certifier will need to determine what a significant amount of pasture is by region.

It is my opinion that a system of feed and pasture accounting will create a workable system. We appreciate the move to clarify the guidelines of pasture and believe this is a positive step. We should just be careful to work logically and not emotionally to create regulations that make sense. Thank you.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Thank you,

Albert. Ed Zimba, Horizon Organic Dairy.

PANELIST ZIMBA: Thank you. My name is Ed Zimba and I am an organic dairy and cash crop farmer. And my pasture acres of -- even on being a cash crop farmer as my most profitable acres that we farm on our farm and I also would like to express my appreciation

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to the NOSB Board for the time and volunteer time that you guys put on an effort to sacrifice to obtain high integrity levels of this organic industry.

I also would like to thank the NOP and the USDA for giving us the opportunity here to come. I would like to thank Horizon Organic for putting me on this board. I appreciate that very much.

I've been farming for 25 years. I got 25 years experience in applied research, which is better than any Government, college or scientific research that I come -- I come from the school of common sense and hard knocks. Obviously, I'm nervous here. I would like to say thank you, too, for all the panels yesterday. I thought they were very good and they support what we farmers have always been saying and all the colleges are coming to us and asking us for information on how to farm organic and do this thing right.

I heard averages 21,800 pounds.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We done it the other way. Another way don't work. We done it both ways and I think the thing that's missing in this doctrine has never been asked is we got almost twice the age life out of our cows, since we went to grazing and doing everything right. And that's what the consumers are asking for.

I want to -- like I said, I appreciate the document and I kind of want to bring the Board up to-- the new NOSB Board Members up to date here and I also feel the document gives the impression that what would happen if we required pasture? And then some of the questions -- some of the answers, you know, even out of the Board yesterday said well, what do we do here if we allow these farmers to graze?

The definition of pasture in the Western Dictionary it says "Grass, it's pasture grass or other growing plants used as food by grazing animals. Ground suitable for grazing set aside for this. Pasture, put

NEAL R. GROSS

cattle to graze on pasture; to graze on grass; to provide with pasture; to feed on growing grass."

There has been -- we have been -that's what we've done our whole life. This
is what the organic thing has been all about
and now we're sitting here like we're starting
all over from ground zero. We're not starting
over from ground zero. We've been doing this
and this is how this whole thing started and
that's what the organic consumers want. In
one of your regulations Section 205.237, "The
producers of organic livestock operation must
provide livestock with a total feed ratio
composed of agricultural products, including
pasture."

Section 205.238, "The producer must establish and maintain the livestock health care practice, including establishment of appropriate housing pasture conditions."

Section 205.239, "The producer of organic livestock operation must establish and

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

maintain livestock living condition which accommodate the health and natural behavior of animal, including access to the outdoors and access to pasture."

Section 205.2, "Land use for livestock grazing that has managed to provide feed value maintain improved soil." It says "livestock grazing." This is what it's all about, guys, women, everything.

Okay. Now, to the document.

(Applause)

PANELIST ZIMBA: To the document, are these markets based on other types of research to sustain an exception of consumers that organic milk comes from dairy cows raised on pasture. Look at all the milk cartons out there. The consumers that's what they want and that's what we got to keep giving to them. And it's sad that we have -- we got a good -- we got a lot of good apples in this whole organic industry, but then we get one or two or whatever it is, we got some bad apples.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And it's very disheartening to all of us, all of my colleagues here, organic dairy farmers, family farms and out there and you got -- and there's these companies out there that are not grazing, and they take us, they take what we stand for and they put their -- our picture on their farms and sell their milk.

If they want to do that, then they should say well, let's let them put their own picture of their farm on their cartons and let's say almost organic. Because the rules have always been there for pasture and the NOSB has always for the last I don't know how many years has been telling the NOP get the ain't getting job done. Ιt done. Ι appreciate what you guys are doing. Don't get me wrong. And I appreciate you new on the Board and I feel you are going to get it done.

And we need you guys to get it done, because we are here --

(Applause)

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST ZIMBA: -- and every year we come here, but it ain't getting enforced. And if it would have been enforced from the beginning and done right, we wouldn't be here. So now, we're here. Now, we are here and we're trying to -- it's like my colleague and Jim Gardiner, and the rest of friend, colleagues out here, we are here and now we've got to put speed limit signs up for going down the road, because there's some bad apples in this whole bunch, and we got to tell them, okay, here is the speed limit sign. We can only go so fast.

Okay. So now, we're sitting here trying to say okay, well, the cows can only get this much grass, so many cows per acre, yada de yada da. And then there was questions from the Board yesterday, well, what are you going to do about the consumers if you only tell them you're giving 30 percent dry matter intake? If the law was done right and everybody abide by it and the integrity level,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we wouldn't be here. So we can go through all of this again and we can do all of this again, but if we don't get no action out of it, we're all in the same boat again.

Back to the document. Is this achieve -- is the 30 percent an achievable goal, they are asking. I'm going to read from Dr. Marguerita B. Cattell, D.V.M., and a Dr. Nelson, D.V.M., Adren J. from Windsor, We run a 500 organic dairy in an Colorado. area high plain just east of the Rocky Mountains halfway between the Denver, Colorado and Wyoming border. Our cows graze irrigated pastures, 750 acre adjustment to our farm at a second dairy facility, which release plants and fenced to meet organic certification requirements. We have always believed that the NOP has an enforceable pasture rule and we believe in the following rules in all that we do.

All of the acres are flood irrigation and all are in pasture. Without

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

irrigation, pasture would be productive for short times each spring. Our cows graze between April 15th and October 15th each year. Mid-1995, NOSB suggested pasture consumption of 30 percent dry matter intake for four months has been our minimum goal. This is very -- in our location we believe anywhere in the country that grows dairy cattle and feed.

During in the low rain fall area that we do -- and low fire that we do, there's no excuse for not having pasture. If you can grow -- if you can grow crops such vegetables, sugar, beets, beans, sweet corn, grain, alfalfa, you grow pastures. can Colorado farmers grow all these and more in excellent soil, excellent altitude excellent -- in the front range area is needed Colorado. All that is Water can grow anything management. desires to put in pasture.

As dairy health and nutrition -- there are also dairy health and nutrition

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

consultants. We have worked around the country to provide the service to dairy producers of all sizes currently from 50 cows to 3,000. It is our intention that any state in the union with the facility selected design and managed to meet the organic requirement can graze to minimum standard. The facility must be designed to meet the rule not viceversa.

What also is missing in this document is also the pasture -- cows life is considered. We get-- if you are grazing, your cows live almost twice as long than anything else. And to finish up, pasture truly is the cornerstone of organic dairy farming. And my Heavenly Father is the cornerstone of my life and I thank you guys very much.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: That gets the speed presentation award. Thank you, Ed. What we didn't say is Ed's farm is in Michigan as well. So I want to point out that

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

on this panel, we have Michigan, Oregon, New York, Colorado, Pennsylvania, California and Idaho represented, so we have a cross section of the country here today.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: And I would like to open this up to questions of the NOSB.

Joe?

MEMBER SMILLIE: I'm a newcomer on the NOSB and I'm not on the Livestock Committee, so I'm not totally up to speed on all the issues, but I have heard very clearly and in my own mind there is very little debate about the need for -- what? Oh, I'm sorry. Joe Smillie, NOSB.

I've heard very clearly the need for specificity in pasture regulations and as a certifier, specificity is very necessary for us. The nails argument is a tough one. You have to make sure you've got a good swing and a good hammer and make sure you put the nail in the right place, because if you put it in

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the wrong place, it can hurt people.

And I hate to single you out, Albert, but I really have to ask the question. If we adopted what's currently the proposal in front of us, the ANPR of the 30 percent and the 120 days, would you still be able to stay in business with the farm that you are currently operating or what changes would you — could you be able to make to be in compliance if that became the regulation?

PANELIST STRAUS: You want to put up that spreadsheet?

MEMBER SMILLIE: Yes.

PANELIST STRAUS: Well, I want to address one thing. I have by now, thousands of people, consumers that we have tours and we tell them exactly what we do. They are there when it's dry, when it's wet. Our sales have gone 15-fold since I started. And so I debate that consumers know that 30 percent or they want to have that you are doing a humane treatment of animals. I have more questions

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

on what happens to bull calves, what happens to the cows when they are not milking any more and how we treat the animals, then how much they are getting off their pasture.

I don't want to belittle pasture as an integral part of organic operation. I think 30 percent -- when I was asked this before, I kind of put thoughts to it and tried to figure out how much dry matter our cows take in. We have two production herds, a high string, a low string. The high string takes in 51 pounds of dry matter a day. I have -- I can document that daily. Actually, I can go on the computer right now and see what the cows are eating on a balanced diet.

What I've done is to see how many days I can do. It would be pushing it, it would be really pushing it and, especially like this year, we have had an extra almost, well, at least 45 or 50 days. We had the wettest March on record. Two years ago we had a very hot spell that dried everything up

early. I doubt if we're going to even get the four months of pasture this year, productive pasture.

They will be out until November until it rains, but to get this, 30 percent is not going to be feasible in our area. We do not have water to irrigate like all these other people do or summer rains. We do not have that. We're on the coast in California. It's a different climate. And, I mean, even compared to Blake. Does that answer you?

MEMBER SMILLIE: Yes.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Gerald?

MEMBER DAVIS: Gerald Davis, NOSB.

Mr. Straus, I'm from California and I follow the weather exhaustively in the line of work that I work in, in farming. In your location, it seems to me that you would typically have a four month period, minimum, of rain fall in pasture, green pasture that would be green. Is that not true?

PANELIST STRAUS: Rains are from

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

November until usually end of February, middle to end of February where it is dry enough to get on pasture. Right now, we can't put them out at all. We just -- maybe if it stays dry for a few more days, we'll be able to put them out in pasture without destroying the pasture.

MEMBER DAVIS: So you're saying but sometimes you can't put them out on pasture, because it's too wet when the rains do come? Is that correct?

PANELIST STRAUS: Normal years, it dries up in March. We might have a few showers through March and April, but these haven't been typical years. It has been either wet, too wet or too dry. But normal, in our area, we have pasture usually from sometime in February, sometimes beginning March until into June, that's it.

MEMBER DAVIS: So what you are saying is that some years a 120 day period with that amount of dry matter intake would be feasible in the drought years? Where the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

rains are a shorter period or too much rain like this year, you might have some difficulty from --

PANELIST STRAUS: I'm saying we have about 120 days optimum of pasture. It's not -- and if we optimize it totally and it was a very optimum year, we might be able to make the 30 percent. It's not a minimum, you know. And, you know, my concern is where this figure comes from. It's not -- I'm doing it -- I'm not driven by market conditions. I'm driven by best management practices on our farm.

What I have heard everybody saying is this is coming from consumers. Consumers have never stated this to me and, you know, I have big problems with -- it's more driven that it seems to be regional preference and not trying to support the industry.

MEMBER DAVIS: Thank you.

MEMBER MOYER: Jeff Moyer, NOSB.

My question is for Juan. Juan, you seemed to

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

like Albert just was, shifting be, the discussion a little bit away from pasture and specifically more towards animal welfare, aside from pasture. And I was just wondering on your particular farm how much pasture do your animals get and then if you could followup with some other answers on what's average age of your cows, how many lactations do you get out of them and what's your cull rate on your particular herd?

PANELIST VELEZ: Thank you for the animal question. The shifting towards welfare, in my opinion, is very critical because I think we tie it in with consumer perception of how well we take care of our animals as a holistic system not only during the time of their grazing. Also, because there was a lot of comparisons yesterday about how much better the animals in grass are. Nobody argues that animal grazing has better chance of having better well-being during the time that they are grazing.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And comparisons were being done between inside, indoors, poor ventilation confinements versus operations with loose housing, where the animal has got access to exercise, sunlight, grooming and So the comparisons are very, interaction. specific to completely extreme very two systems of management. I bring up the animal welfare issue, because I think that needs to be considered on 365 days a year.

think that it was brought up yesterday that very well-managed grazing operations, obviously, like the ones that we have represented here have outstanding animal There's no question about it. welfare. there is many, many others out there that we know of, that everybody knows of that graze 120 days, maybe 50 percent dry matter intake, but also take terrible care of their animal during the wintertime, because they don't have the facilities they should, etcetera, etcetera.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

point holistic So is mУ as а system animal welfare becomes a better point for the organic industry, a better message to deliver to the consumer as a holistic system. I think that what Albert has brought out of his -- the people that he brings on his farm to tour, the question that they ask is the same questions that we have asked. And it may be a very regional thing where the people are -- the tourists are more concerned about other aspects. How do you breed your cows? What do you do with the newborn babies? Where is the bull calves going, etcetera, etcetera.

The specific questions about the farms, how many acres? Depending on the stage of lactation and depending on the farm, we're talking a lot of difference between our Texas location, our Colorado location as number of animals that graze per year. During the entire lactation cycle, all of our animals do grazing. Ι believe that is the benefits of documentation on the grazing

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

during the dry period and prior to part/region are very, very well-documented.

Not only on the grazing aspect, but on the exercise, because of the beautiful work done in Michigan done by Dr. Dave Biddy where he shows that the cow that exercise more during the dry period have much better metabolic problems at calving. And we believe very strongly on that. During the entire cycle all our cows have access to pasture.

MEMBER MOYER: Are you saying then that while your cows are being milked, they are not on pasture, but when they are dry, they are on pasture?

PANELIST VELEZ: Oh, absolutely all of them when they are dry are on pasture.

And many, many of our milking herds are on pasture. Not all of them, many of them are.

MEMBER MOYER: And can you also tell us about your cull rate and your lactation periods? How many lactations do you get out of a cow? What's your average age of

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

your cow? And what are your cull rates?

PANELIST VELEZ: There is many aspects to take into consideration on the cull rate and one of them is how young the whole herd is. When do you start your herd? are you bringing in as your start up animal? Do you raise all your replacements or you bought some replacements? That whole thing could shift your cull rate, but I can give you specific numbers right now. Our location has a 27 percent cull rate. Colorado location has 26 percent cull rate on a yearly basis.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Mark and then Kevin.

MR. BRADLEY: Is that better? Can you hear that? Oh, Mark Bradley, National Organic Program. Some very good discussion coming out here and each of you is bringing up some aspects that are very important to this discussion. I want to thank this panel in particular, because as dairymen, I know that

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

it's one of the most demanding occupations in agriculture and you're taking some time away from your farms and your families right now.

I know this crowd particularly appreciates what you are doing here.

I would also like to thank the folks that are staying back home that are taking care of the farm, because somebody is having to milk the girls today, so we appreciate you.

(Applause)

MR. Roman brought BRADLEY: some excellent points about full access saying that the cows, you know, have needs, too, you know, from natural behaviors, you know, and I'm sorry that he is going to be going out of business, because the cows are going to be so healthy. But Carl Polan yesterday said that -- he kind of said it best that confinement is confinement is not confinement. It's not all is different the same. There levels of confinement and that's what the access

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pasture is just part of a very complicated scenario that is linked to many parts of the rule that adds, so you'll very aptly point this out.

The one in particular that comes to my mind and it goes straight to the 245 days, you know, the other part of the standard being addressed with that's not discussion is that cows are to be provided outdoors, including access to access pasture. And I would like -- I guess I would direct this to Ed. How can the program, the NOP, assure that once someone has met the 120 day, you know, proposed guideline that's being discussed here, how can we be sure that the cows are -- it's not going to be so closely linked to the 120 days that they will still have access to the outdoors, there will still be freedom of movement?

We need to make sure that that part of the reg is not linked. And how would NOP build this into a regulation, so that that

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

part of the standard is preserved without making the limiting effects of 120 days? In other words, when they are not on pasture, what can we do to make sure that there is freedom of movement, freedom for natural behaviors and those types of things? Can you address that?

PANELIST ZIMBA: Yes. Is this on? Good. I think it goes back to like what we was saying, if it looks like a duck, it walks like a duck, it's a duck. And the certifying inspector has either got to be educated a little bit more about the data, because I could walk in on any one of these farms out here and tell you what they are doing and that's just a matter of education and how you guys are going to go about that, I don't know.

I don't want to backtrack here, but it seems like we're just -- keep hammering this away and what it is going to take it's just the matter of these certifiers got to have the authority go on these farms and look

NEAL R. GROSS

things over thoroughly and do what has to be done. And they got to have the power to do that. And you guys got to give them the power.

MR. BRADLEY: What I'm asking is when there is confinement like Dr. Polan was alluding to, should there be prohibitions or something built in the regulations confinement tie stalls prohibit to or tethering outdoors or something that accounts, you know, or protects the natural behaviors and access to the outdoors when they are not I mean, should there be access to on pasture. loping lots when the pasture is not suitable and it's too wet for cows to go out on it or there is not enough usable forage for them to be out there and it would be damaging to the pasture to run cows on it? Should there be loping lots? Should there access to lieu of require free stall barns in tie stalls?

PANELIST ZIMBA: Okay. I think we

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have hashed, you know, this out as far as phone conference calls from the east to the west. We lowered our standards down as low as we can do them at 120 days and we feel across the whole nation, the whole United States nation there, everybody should be able to comply to that 120 days and meet that 30 percent dry matter intake, which is only 10 percent of the cows diet for the year.

just somebody Ι don't see how cannot make that happen. I know we had enough phone conference calls during this thing until we're silly about talking about it. that's -- these are the rules that we have to come up with in order to make this happen. We're not going to -- I can't wave from that, because we've talked to too many farmers that can do it. And if you've got somebody that can do it, then across the road they can't do it, something just ain't right. And I guess all I can say is 120 days and everybody should be able to comply to it.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: I would like to step in on this, if I could, please. This is Blake Alexandre. We need to remember here — I like what everybody said by the way, but Kathie's point earlier about this isn't a science and we've got to quit trying to micromanage this particular rule and this concept. The duck that walked in and asked for duck food, last year I was in Washington, D.C. and the large — I've got a friend from California, from the Conventional Confinement Herd, who walked in and said gee, USDA. Are you still allowing confinement herds to sell organic milk?

And the answer was not really. So he went home and he didn't build his organic area that he wanted to build. And I consult with these kind of guys all the time, because they know we're one of the larger herds. We are perceived as doing it right and they want to know. And there is a lot of people in this room and a lot of people out there watching

NEAL R. GROSS

and waiting for this answer.

And what we're trying to do, Mark, is come up with a bare minimum. Let's not worry about what happens beyond this. What we're trying to do is stop zero percent of pasture. We're trying to get off of zero. And I don't really particularly care if the number is 5 percent, 10 percent, 15, all the way up to 30. I really don't care. What we're trying to do is get off of zero.

And Albert has got really good points. I knew that he was a little fuzzy on this issue. Honestly, and I --

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Fuzzy might not be the word.

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: But Albert has got a situation where his consumers can define that he is not at zero. And his consumers are content and his tours, the people that come out to his ranch are happy. And so that situation works. There is no issues there. You don't need to get involved

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in regulating what Albert is doing. That's not what the mission is here. The mission is to get off of zero.

PANELIST STRAUS: Well, since I've been addressed, this is Albert. Well, I do feel threatened by the 30 percent and I -- you know, my reaction is what about all the other practices? And you bring up issues about how we -- you mainly treat the animals the other part of the year. And I think that sort of everybody can have documentation as to which cows are treated which way for the remaining part and have some kind of form that people the certifiers put together, that so actually see what they are doing, because they're only there one day a year, so it's hard.

You know, I'm sure there are going to be abuses, but I think that there should be a minimum standard for that as well. Yes, I agree.

PANELIST ARNOLD: Can I jump in

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

here, too, please? To address Mark's question, I mean, the rule does already state that there should be daily outdoor access for all animals over six months of age. And if it comes to light that that is being abused, too, think would all be for then we specificity there as well. You know, isn't something that organic we producers across the country have discussed yet, but if it's something you would like us to discuss, we can put that on the agenda next.

But, you know, we -- I think we all do see welfare standards across the whole year as being of prime importance, not just when they are on grass, but through the whole year. And I would say that there are an awful lot of organic dairy farms that do not just have tie stall facilities for their herds. They have greenhouse barns. They have free stall barns. They have bed pack barns or they may have a combination of a tie stall.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

situation, we In have our а combination of a tie stall and free stall. half the cows are in the tie stall for the day and they are out in the free stall at night and every day they all have access to free roaming in the barnyard. And all of and dry cows have free access heifers outdoors/indoors. They can choose when they want to be in, when they want to be out just like Roman's. And I think most organic dairy herds have situations like that. And if they don't, if they are not providing outdoor access, then the certifier ought to come down on them, because that's part of the rule and they should be meeting it.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Kevin?

MEMBER ENGELBERT: I have pages of questions. Kevin Engelbert, NOSB. But in the interest of time, I'm going to restrict it to just three and I'll ask them all at once and then they can respond.

Albert or Mr. Straus, if you had

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

fewer cows or more acres devoted to pasture, could you more easily meet the 30 percent, 120? Kathie, could you explain one last time for the record how those figures were obtained? And, Mr. Velez, would you give a little bit more detail on your feeding program and how much pasture you actually feed your lactating animals? 10 11 PANELIST STRAUS: I quess I'm first. My mind just went blank. 12 Can you repeat it? I'm sorry. 13 If you 14 MEMBER ENGELBERT: Yes. had --15 PANELIST STRAUS: Oh, had fewer 16 animals. Now, I remember. 17 18 MEMBER ENGELBERT: Okay. PANELIST STRAUS: My quick answer 19 20 is probably not, because of the length of the growing season. We probably -- I mean, each 21 22 dairy farm is a different situation, so I think that, you know, personally I have to be, as an economic model, a certain size and a certain thing, but besides that, I think it's very limited. I mean, as a minimum I think it's going to be very difficult to get anywhere close to 30 percent, you know, for a consistent basis. I mean, every year if you want to make exceptions, you know, as a goal it might be good, but I don't think I can do that at this point.

MEMBER ENGELBERT: I'm just wondering how many cows you have, how many acres of pasture, and if those numbers were different.

PANELIST STRAUS: As I stated -
MEMBER ENGELBERT: And has that
changed the ability to meet that minimum.

PANELIST STRAUS: As I stated, we have, approximately, 300 cows on 660 acres.

MEMBER ENGELBERT: So, for example, 100 cows on 660 acres, still for that length of time you couldn't feed them?

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST STRAUS: If I wasn't in business I wouldn't have to worry about it.

MEMBER ENGELBERT: Well, but I think

that --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PANELIST STRAUS: No, I'm being facetious, but it's -- if I was a small dairy, I wouldn't be in business. I just -- you know, I have maximized the amount of pasture I can do for our area and for my particular situation. Other dairies that I buy from utilize pastures as much and they maximize the pasture. They don't have any silage. They don't. They just feed alfalfa hay and grain in the barn and they, at most years, have a hard time getting 120 days, I would say.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: If I could jump in for one second. What I heard was it isn't the number of cows or the amount the pasture, but rather it is the length of your growing season and the variability of it that is your challenge. Is that --

NEAL R. GROSS

PANELIST STRAUS: The length of the growing season.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: I'm saying the pasture season because of --

PANELIST STRAUS: I mean, to get the 30 percent over our growing season is very difficult to get.

PANELIST ARNOLD: Okay. Is it on to me? Where does the 30 percent for 120 days come from? Yes, basically, it came from discussions for years over dairy producers, organic dairy producers across the country.

The first I heard about it was, I believe, some farms in Organic Valley came up with that back in 2001, I believe, as a base price or as a base amount that everybody should be able to make and we have just discussed it and discussed it and discussed it. And that is just something that we feel should be achievable everywhere. Is that all you wanted me -- okay.

PANELIST VELEZ: And talk about

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

our feeding program, I'm going to talk a little bit about the housing condition of the animals for the most part and they are loose housing, access to fresh air, sunlight and expression of natural behaviors by grooming during the entire year, and the cows in the maternity group are brought in so that we can monitor the calving. The fresh cows stayed on one of those loose housing areas where they get a TMR. At, approximately, mid-lactation several of those groups start going out on pasture.

We calculated. Last year we calculated, guesstimated, that our dry matter consumption for some of the lactating cows was around 5 percent in our Texas herd and between 3 and 5 percent in our Colorado herd during the lactating period.

MEMBER KARREMAN: Thanks, Juan.

Hugh Karreman, NOSB. Back on the animal welfare issue. Since I'm a veterinarian and that's near and dear to me all the time, I

NEAL R. GROSS

would say that the issues of animal welfare and a checklist or something like you mentioned, Juan, are probably needed and I think there are some private companies starting to do that or private kind of extra certifiers doing that.

But I think that the main -- it kind of gets back to what Mark was asking about, what about the rest of the time when they are not on pasture, and I think we need to look at that, but I think our main topic for this conference is pasture and we need to concentrate on that, but we do need to look at the other dates.

In my particular area, down in Lancaster County just a couple of hours south of here, we do have a lot of tie stall barns and I would definitely be open to entertaining the welfare issues of letting the cows out in the wintertime from the tie stalls so they are not tied in as long, okay, but that's on a different day.

NEAL R. GROSS

And the other thing with the animal welfare part of it, since we're here for pasture, primarily to define what pasture is and what it shall be in at least the minimum somehow or another, when it gets down to the animal welfare it's not just the ruminants, but we have to also look at the hogs and the poultry, so just to keep that separated.

MEMBER GIACOMINI: Dan Giacomini, NOSB. First of all, I just needed to say for full disclosure, as Hugh is the veterinarian for one of our panels, I am the nutritionist at Albert Straus Dairy and I have worked with a number of organic and conventional dairies in the area that Albert is and the area that Blake is.

And as difficult as it would be for Albert to do this, it is very interesting that I was recently at a talk with a major retailer of natural products and organic products and I would be very, very surprised

NEAL R. GROSS

if the majority of the pasture pictures that were in that talk were not from Albert's geographical area.

The question I had is for Jon and I'm more comfortable asking this after Mark's question. I'm not asking you to become a regulator. Can you give us some ideas on possible terminology and possible points that we can use to put into regulatory terms what a grass-based dairy looks like?

PANELIST BANSEN: Okay. Well, you know, a grass-based dairy is going to have a structure. If you drive onto the yard, in the yard, and there is no fences up or no lanes up, you know that's not a pasture dairy already. You know, it's really not a highly difficult thing to see what a pasture dairy is. Ιt should have terminology like infrastructure set up structure, the grazing, which means fences and lanes.

You know, we have a very wet weather pattern ourselves where we're at and I

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

took a page out of my grandfather's book. He grew up or my grandfather dairied right where Blake -- down where Blake dairies right now, and my grandpa poured a cement lane to get his cows out to the grass and this was 60 years ago, 70 years ago, something like that.

Growing up as a little kid down there in Ferndale, I remember walking down to get the cows down that little skinny cement lane and then, once we moved up to Oregon and I bought my own farm and I was having trouble getting the cows in and out to the grass, because it's so darn wet there, you know, an old light came on. I remembered what my grandpa did and we poured cement lanes.

We have about two miles of lanes, some cement and some with fabric and rock on top, so we can get the cows back and forth and that is really the part about intent. You know, if you walk onto a dairy and there is no lanes and there is no fences, you know they are not a grass-based dairy.

NEAL R. GROSS

really think So in the I terminology you need something about the infrastructure infrastructure, the is so there, and as well as vegetative pasture. You know, if you have a huge area fenced in that is a rock pile, probably not vegetative If you have a fenced in green stuff pasture. that the cows can graze, probably pasture.

It's really not rocket science here and, you know, this really has to have a common sense approach to it. This is not -- it's not tough to go in a yard and see what they are doing on the farm.

MEMBER DELGADO: Is this on? Yes.

Rigoberto Delgado with NAOS -- NOSB. I always have problems there. A question for Albert. If you were to introduce irrigation to your farm so you can -- assuming it's possible, would you do it and you said no or because it will be a detriment of your ecology and so forth.

But would that put you out of

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

business or that would -- what would be the economic implications of bringing irrigation to your facility?

PANELIST STRAUS: Irrigation.

MEMBER DELGADO: And allowing to comply with the 30 percent?

PANELIST I understand. STRAUS: We live on a body of water, the Pacific Ocean, in Tomales Bay that is a lot of salt water and if you gave me millions of dollars to desalinization, I could probably do it. We have drilled wells. In the '76 drought we finally found some water for the dairy after hauling water for nine months. And this year we drilled two more wells and they were dry. There is no water, so I don't have that Plain and simple. option. It's environmental. It's not anything. I don't have water.

MEMBER DELGADO: My second question is for Jon and Kathie and the rest of you. In the case of Albert, and I know a

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

number of farmers in Texas and so forth, they have all the intent of allowing to comply with that 30 percent, but they can't.

Does that satisfy, in your perspective, the intent of the 30 percent and access to pasture if people like Albert and people in west Texas or whatever are not able to meet it according to the standards and the possibilities that you have here in this very green and large area of the country?

PANELIST BANSEN: Well, you know -

MEMBER DELGADO: Or should we stop thinking of being organic dairy farmers in west Texas or what is your opinion?

PANELIST BANSEN: Well, you know, like the Billy Joel song says, it's shades of gray. You know, nothing is black and white here. It's all shades of gray. I think what Albert is doing is a whole lot different than the folks that we're here to really discuss, you know, the zero percenters or the 2 or 5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

percenters.

You know, that is -- Albert, you know, he has the intent there. You know, he says he usually has four months. You know, I think it's different in a region. If you set up a dairy in a region where you know you have no irrigation and you know the growing season is one month, there is no intent there to meet the pasture. You know, Albert has a dairy where he says on the average year he has four months. That's the 120 days. Seems like the intent is there.

So, you know, we need to make sure exactly what that intent is when you set up the dairy, and that's why it's important to have specific wording in this language to talk about intent, you know, about pasture infrastructure and about what needs to be there, so when new dairies do want to come on to this organic program they know, you know, do they meet that intent or don't they meet that intent. You know, do they need to look

NEAL R. GROSS

for a different area where they can meet that intent or not?

panelist arrold: Okay. I would just sort of second that and just the fact that in the rules or the way it's considered being written is an allowance for temporary confinement based on weather, on flooding, too much rain, drought. So if Albert says that in a normal year they can meet 120 days, they have got the four months, but he has just had a succession here of very abnormal years, so I would assume that these very abnormal years would meet that exemption requirement.

And in terms of the 30 percent intake, that is all based on balancing numbers of animals with your land base and in some cases, there will just have to be perhaps a decrease in herd size to make that balance between animal numbers and that pasture land base. And people are doing it all across the country.

I mean, the number of pasture

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

acres we have, if, you know, we're at a good number, a good fit now for doing what we want, you know, we can milk 1,000 cows, but, you know, then I could no longer make that 30 percent dry matter intake and you have to balance your cow numbers with your acreage available.

panelist velez: I would like to jump in and especially talk about the intent. As you know, the drought, the longest drought, one of the longest droughts in history has been in Texas until recently. Obviously, our intent at that particular farm was based on the land base to graze a lot more and get a lot more dry matter intake than what we actually got.

Also, I want to point out that continuously the plan is evolving towards more and more access to more of the animals around any of the locations that we want to be in, and the new products are designed with a lot more access with a lot more -- with a goal of

having a lot more grazing going on. The intent is -- so I don't think that anybody's intending purposefully not to do it.

Therefore, I want to make sure that everybody understands that the whole program is evolving and that this symposium, that I have learned a lot about a lot of things that can be done and cannot be done. I think that this symposium is the beginning of an evolving process for everybody's intent to grow on several aspects, and I include welfare during the winter months as one of those things that need to be evolving in the whole organic program.

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: I would like to answer to that real quickly. Two things though. First, there is the Animal Humane Association that certifies dairies and when you get certified, you can use the Free Farmed logo and we do that at Humboldt Creamery. Every dairy out there is certified by that for whatever that is worth.

NEAL R. GROSS

In regards to your specific question about Texas, I have been involved at a dairy in Texas and I own a percentage of an organic dairy farm in Texas that I have helped set up from the beginning, and we pump water that is way down deep and we irrigate in circles underneath the irrigation and it works. And we're only in our second year out there, but it's working real well.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: There are more questions from the Board and I would ask a question of the Board in general, because I'm charged with the time keeping here. There are also a lot of questions from the Board. Would you like to ask a question? Could I go to some of the public questions or how would you like to proceed?

PARTICIPANT: Are you asking me?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Well, I'm
asking Bea and Gerald particularly.

MEMBER JAMES: Kevin, do you want to address that?

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN O'RELL: Well, I think we do want to get to some of the public comments and, I think in light of that, I know the Board, if you have a specific question quickly that can be answered, but we do need to move -

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Here's another question. How about if we ask the public questions. If those don't address your questions, we'll come back to you.

MEMBER JAMES: No.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Bea? Okay.

MEMBER JAMES: Well, I guess from the communication that we received from the NOP that this is really a symposium for the public, but it's also to really help make sure that the NOSB understands and asks their questions, so that we can get to a conclusion. So I would say that if there are pressing questions from the NOSB that can, hopefully, summarize those quickly and then the public questions and if move on to

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

everyone is okay with that. Sound right, Mark, Barbara?

MS. ROBINSON: Yes, yes, this is -

MEMBER JAMES: Okay. First, thank you, farmers, for coming. I really appreciate the time that you're taking away. Ed, thank you for not passing out when you gave your last part of the speech.

I am going to just like jump to let's say that we instill this regulation, that we have pasture, that we don't sit here and talk about whether or not yes pasture, no pasture, 120 days, 30 percent, that we just make this assumption that we are -- from what I'm hearing, that we want pasture. We're going to figure out how to make this work for farmers.

Pasture means, from what I'm hearing and what I read in the regulation, it doesn't -- it means not just one thing. It means grazing. It means sunlight. It means

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

fresh air. It means free roaming. It means allowing the animal to express its natural behavior. So it's not just one component of that. It's all of those components.

So I would like to throw out this scenario and ask for your opinion. Organic Regulation we have three tiers. We have 100 percent, 95 percent, 70 percent. What would be your opinion if we were to find a way to craft this regulation to meet diverse type of farming that's going on? have farmers that are able to have very strong pasture for their farms. We have other farms that have regional weather problems. We have farms complying that are not to the regulations and that need to comply to the regulations.

So with that, I would ask you as farmers to tell me what your thoughts are on having a regulation that would be tiered pasture access for the labeling of organic milk.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST BANSEN: Well, I just may

- I might be concerned that that might lead
to confusion in the consumer market as far as
what organic milk is and, you know, they come
and there's three different labels and, you
know, what does that mean and I'm afraid that
might just lead to some consumer confusion.

MEMBER JAMES: But we have three tiers already.

PANELIST BANSEN: Correct. I understand that. When you come to your dairy case, I just -- I think it's a little different than your dry products and those. You know, you come to the dairy case, it's the dairy case and I'm afraid there might be confusion there.

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: The problem I see with that is now you have gotten specific on pastures if that's the only thing that really counts and it's not. It's organic pasture.

So the consumer would immediately

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

get confused with the conventional pasture label that is coming and that is a huge problem, because this organic pasture is back to the biology of the soil and it's the total package and it's a nutrient-dense package of food that we're trying to get to the consumer. That is why they want pasture, not because it makes them feel good.

MEMBER JAMES: Okay.

PANELIST STRAUS: This is Albert.

MEMBER JAMES: Yes.

PANELIST STRAUS: I just -- I don't see. We have already products that are made with, you know, the different amounts of organic. You're going to explain that it's 75 percent, because it only has so much pasture?

MEMBER JAMES: I'm not necessarily saying that this tier would be exactly the way that it currently exists, that maybe there would be a tier that would be crafted specifically for dairy to help meet the needs of somebody like yourself and still allow you

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to be able to sell your milk as organic, but not necessarily -- I think we need to be honest and we need to communicate to the consumers what they are purchasing.

When I buy orange juice that has oranges on the package, I expect there to be orange juice in the package. When I buy milk that has cows on pasture on the label, I expect that that's what I'm purchasing. And so if we find a way to be able to provide the consumer with the different variations that are currently out there, and I'm not saying that there should be a tier that does not allow pasture, I'm just saying that I think that we need to be cognizant of the fact that not every single region is going to have an easy time adhering to 120 days, 30 percent.

PANELIST STRAUS: You know, I market products that don't have additives in it. I don't homogenize. You know, if I don't have as much pasture as these other guys, am I not as organic as them?

NEAL R. GROSS

MEMBER JAMES: Well --

PANELIST STRAUS: I'm asking. Is that what you're trying to -- you're painting me into a spot that I -- I'm asking, you know.

MEMBER JAMES: I think that's the topic of this whole symposium.

PANELIST STRAUS: Well, I Okay. You know, it's -- I take offense at it. helped developed standards for the last 15 I have -- I am a step ahead of almost everything that is out there and we do our best to come up with a clean, 100 percent organic product that we don't -- you know, we have a survey that we put out that had 5,000 consumers of which we got a 22 percent return rate that their concern was additives. Over 90 percent of them were concerned with additives and preservatives in organic foods. It wasn't how much pasture there was.

You know, if this is from the consumers' perspective, we are totally straightforward. You know, we tell exactly

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

what's in our product. We educate people as to what we're doing and what the message about sustainable farming is, and that is who our consumer is. They aren't saying, oh, you're not as organic as the other guy. You know, so that's my reaction.

PANELIST ARNOLD: I guess it's a whole new idea and it's hard to respond right off the top without having thought about it for awhile but, I mean, I like the idea from a producer's side in that it is going to provide a real incentive for maximizing pasture and it may provide that economic return for reducing cow numbers in a situation where there is a limit to the amount of acres.

So if there was a little bit of premium price attached to a product that had a higher level of grass fed basis, then that premium could go to provide that farm with the economic means to provide that higher pasture base.

PANELIST BANSEN: And I would just

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

like to reiterate real quick that as Kathie stated before, this 30 percent, 120 day issue really, I do believe it did originate in our Dairy Executive Committee, which has representatives at Organic Valley from all across the nation. You know, we have 568 farmers in 22 regions and it was the consensus after hours and hours meeting and meeting with all the DEC representatives at Organic Valley, all regions can meet this. You know, we had no input from any

You know, we had no input from any of the other -- of any of the regions across the country that couldn't meet this and that is really where that 30 percent really started out to begin with.

PANELIST STRAUS: I'm not in Organic Valley.

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: Albert, I think you have neighbors in your region that say they can meet it.

PANELIST STRAUS: Organic Valley or --

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	PANELIST ALEXANDRE: No, you have
2	neighboring dairymen in your region that ship
3	milk
4	to
5	PANELIST STRAUS: Okay.
6	PANELIST ALEXANDRE: other
7	processors that believe they can meet the
8	regulation.
9	PANELIST STRAUS: Let's put it
10	down on paper.
11	(Applause)
12	MEMBER DAVIS: Robert, Gerald
13	Davis. My question I will save for the
14	certifiers section.
15	FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Okay.
16	Great.
17	PARTICIPANT: I have one. Oh, I'm
18	sorry.
19	MEMBER OSTIGUY: This is a
20	question for Dr. Velez. I'm sorry. This is
21	Nancy Ostiguy from NOSB. How many hours a day
22	are your cows, your milking cows, outside not

free walking inside, but physically outdoors? PANELIST VELEZ: 24/7. FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Any more questions from the NOSB? I should have stated early this morning what I said at beginning of yesterday, and that is that this is primarily a symposium for NOSB and NOP and that while we will entertain public questions that --MEMBER OSTIGUY: I need to follow-10 11 up on that question. FACILITATOR ANDERSON: 12 I'm sorry, I didn't realize. 13 MEMBER OSTIGUY: What do you mean 14 by 24/7? 15 16 PANELIST VELEZ: I'm sorry? 17 MEMBER OSTIGUY: How thev are 18 outdoors 24 hours a day? Are they inside of a facility that has a roof, but open portions of 19 the wall? I want to know when they don't have 20 any walls surrounding them, when they don't 21

have roofs.

Okay. PANELIST VELEZ: Ι apologize for my short answer. Our cows are housed in what we call loose housing. is no walls, that only on one portion of the very large pen where they can walk. They can groom. They have direct sunlight, access to sunlight, fresh air. They have an open shed in which they can select whether to go in if the weather is inclement or stay out if the weather is nice, which is most, around days of the year in the area of Colorado, one of the dairies I'm talking about.

The other one is very similar. I'm talking in general about both of them. It's very similar. The cows can express their natural behavior during all -- during the entire day unless they themselves select to be inside a shed that is well-bedded to protect themselves from the inclement weather. They can express their natural behavior.

MEMBER OSTIGUY: You still haven't answered my question. Do they have a roof

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

over their head?

PANELIST VELEZ: No, ma'am, only if they choose to go under the shed they have a roof on their head. If not, they don't. Yes?

MEMBER OSTIGUY: They are walking on cement, they are walking on dirt, they are walking on grass?

PANELIST VELEZ: They are walking.

When they are not grazing, they are walking
on a panel of dirt. There is dirt around it.

Yes, ma'am.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Any more questions? Yesterday I commented at the beginning that this is primarily a forum for the NOSB and the NOP. I have lots and lots of public questions here and we're not going to be able to address all of them. I want everyone to be aware though that every one of these questions will be scanned into the public record. There is a comment period at the beginning of the National Organic Board

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Meeting, Standards Board Meeting today.

There are already six and a half hours of comment there for that and, also, there is the opportunity for written comment to this by June 12th of this year, but that's only on the ANPR. That is not on what will ultimately happen if regulations come.

There is only one question that I would like to -- and not necessarily ask for answers to, but I think that what is being talked about here is getting around the issue and there are recurring questions here about confinement and what is confinement. What is confinement from the standpoint of are the animals indoors or are they outdoors? Are they tied part of the day, none of the day? Are they outside in indoor confinement?

And it really breaks down to indoor confinement with no outside access, indoor confinement in stalls, indoor confinement with free roaming or outdoor access to grass pasture, dirt or whatever.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And it's sort of the underlying issue here that I'm not exactly sure how we even begin to address, but confinement is what we're really -- as we're seeking access to pasture, we're talking about confinement and what we don't --

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: Comments?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Sure.

PANELIST ALEXANDRE: My simple answer to that is, again, this is a pasture symposium. We're here talking about access to pasture. The first question that was asked yesterday, is this a current role of the NOP, is the current position on pasture adequate? The answer is no. Everybody has said no, no, no, no. It is pretty much unanimous the way I feel it.

We have got issues where we have rolled into this 30 percent, but again I'm going to remind everybody what is confinement?

We're not here to define confinement.

Confinement is zero access to pasture. It doesn't matter whether it's Juan's confinement

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

or something that is happening out here in Pennsylvania or anywhere else in the country. Confinement means no access to pasture and that's why we're here today. So it's really clear in my mind.

(Applause)

that -- I want to say one more time, every question that is here, and there are many really good questions, will be scanned into the public record. There is the comment period preceding the NOSB meeting and there is the opportunity to provide written comment by June 10th or June 12th on this ANPR.

I will call for a short break and we'll bring the certifiers up, so that we can talk about whether or not we can put nails in the intent and whether we can -- and what we have to do in terms of regulating. Thank you.

And I would like to especially -- please, everybody, these guys have taken an incredible amount of time.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

(Applause)

(Whereupon, at 10:02 a.m. a recess until 10:21 a.m.)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: We will reconvene this session and we have approached the enforcement, measurement and compliance part of this in a little bit different way, because there were five questions posed directly in the ANPR that were asked of the accredited certifying agents.

So what we're going to do is have one person, one of the certifiers, address each of the questions and then provide an opportunity for the other two certifiers to comment on anything that wasn't added or reinforce that. So we're going to take a total of six minutes for five questions and leaving half an hour of questioning for the NOSB.

So I will just start by saying that we are privileged to have with us Brian McElroy from CCOF, Leslie Zuck from

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Pennsylvania Certified Organic and John Stalley from Oregon Tilth.

I will start first with the So question how should an accredited certifying compliance with specific agent measure the measures adopted to change role And, for example, if dry matter pasture? intake is used as a benchmark, should it be measured as the average or such as a calendar year over 12 months or a week or a day or an hour? Leslie?

PANELIST ZUCK: Is this on?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Sure.

PANELIST ZUCK: Can you hear me?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Yes.

PANELIST ZUCK: Okay. Well, there are a variety of ways that certifiers can verify compliance and it's one area of the rule where they don't spell out how. They tell us we have to verify compliance with the regulations, but they don't really spell out specifically how to do that, so we have

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

discretion in that area.

And the types of records that farmers keep vary greatly, as well. I mean, they start out as none. That would be the preferred format for most farmers and we actually get this. They will say, well, it's all in my head, you know, I have the records, but they are all in my head. So that is, you know, one area that you will find.

Then you have the farmer that gives you this sophisticated computer spreadsheet so, you know, it runs the gamut. And I will say that, you know, it seems that the best farmers do keep the best records, but just like the weather they all complain about it every chance they get. And I think most of the farmers we certify would rather have all their teeth pulled out than fill out the records that we require them to fill out.

But, you know, as far as what you have to understand from our point of view is the burden is always on the producer to verify

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

their compliance and then, in turn, the certifier has to have adequate records in our office and in our files to demonstrate compliance to the NOP auditors. So that is kind of how the chain works.

with the dry matter intake situation, which is example in the question in the ANPR, that would be something we would calculate seasonally. Most farmers their rations kind figured have of different per season. So during the winter season they have a certain ration. During the early spring season they will change ration as the cows are starting to go out on pasture. During the pasture season it's going to be way different than it would be, you know, during the winter season.

So if we were going to be having to calculate that, it would have to be tied into what is the definition of the growing season and whether it's 120 days or whatever it is that we decide, that's how it will be

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

you know, those records would not difficult. I mean, in some the cases inspector just goes and has -- great, we have a timekeeper. In some cases we require the farmer to keep records on the farm or another way to do it is when the inspector goes to the farm, they can ask the farmer how do you do 9 how many hours 10 this are your 11 pasture, you know, tell me what your ration is that you're feeding during the pasture season, 12 and the inspector can write all that down and 13 into the inspection report and it becomes part 14 of the record that way. So there are 15 variety of ways that we can do that. 16 FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Brian, same 17 18 issue. What happened 19 PANELIST McELROY: there? 20 21 FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Same issue. 22 Would like that you to comment on

connected with that. And I don't think that,

measurement?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PANELIST McELROY: No, I have nothing to add.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Well, then the second question is, and this is to Brian, how should producers and certifying agents verify compliance over time for a herd of cows that are at various stages of growth or have varying states of nutritional needs? Can the producer and certifying agent determine this in the Organic System Plan?

PANELIST McELROY: So I will go backwards through the question. Yes, the certified party can include this in the Organic System Plan, should include it in the Organic System Plan and I think that the certifier should verify it's in the plan.

And I think that, you know, the National Organic Standards Board, one of the things you should take a look at is what do the various Organic System Plans offered by the accredited certification programs look

like, what questions are they are asking, what kind of documentation are they asking, because in the first round of accreditation we have seen a huge variation in what an Organic System Plan looks like.

goes all Ιt the way from checklist all the way through to, you know, fill in the blank and check the box to very freeform paragraph essays, and these Organic System Plans are extremely varied and I think that can make it hard sometimes at the enforcement level to try to ensure enforcement of the standard.

So the first part of the question then is how should producers and certifying agents verify compliance over time for a herd of cows at various stages of growth and various states of nutritional need and, obviously, we're in here talking about pasture so let's not get distracted about all the other various nutritional issues.

But from what I have seen in

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

certified operations, the only consistent place that I have seen an operation deny access to pasture to animals for a stage of production that seems justified to me is at the very young stage, various stages of the calf and its maturity, and that denial of access to pasture for those calving stations, in my experience, is pretty short. We're talking about a matter of, you know, weeks to months and that is the only place I have seen a consistent denial of access to pasture that seems reasonably justified.

PANELIST ZUCK: I would agree with that.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: John?

PANELIST STALLEY: I would also agree with that and I agree with Brian that the System Plan has pretty much everything in it or it should have everything in it that you need to verify compliance and could be used for the 30 percent as well. All that information should be in the plan and it's a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

matter of the inspector going out to the farm and verifying what is in that plan.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: This question is to John. What flexibility should producers have in working with their verify they certifying agents to have accomplished the goals of an increased pasture for livestock?

panelist stalley: Okay. I was going to take this in two parts. First of all, things that I think should be flexible and I'm going to read what we have put together as a staff. We met as a staff and decided.

It has changed since I came to this meeting, so by listening to everybody, I came here with an open mind. My heels were not dug in the sand and I really -- I have changed our position since I got here. I was unclear when I got here and I feel like I'm a little bit clearer, but I'm still pretty unclear. I don't know how everybody else

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

feels, but that's how I feel.

And so I'm going to read what we came up with. I will talk pretty quick because I know we're limited for time. "While some had wanted to focus this discussion on the scale or the size of the operation and/or geographic location, Oregon Tilth believes focus is on the integrity and intent of the regulations. The task at hand is to clarify the intent and expectations established in the regulations for organic dairy operations.

Upon providing such clarification, we should allow operations, regardless of their size or location," that is not a factor, "to determine how and if they can comply. The Farm Plan contains information about the location, crops and acreage and pasture and other forage that will be fed. The inspector verifies what has been written in the Farm Plan, so the Reviewing Committee can help the certifier make an assessment of compliance. A complete Farm Plan contains all this necessary

NEAL R. GROSS

information.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Things that are not flexible. The rule as currently written, " and I think we can all agree on this, "has not been sufficient to maintain the original intent of regulations. Greater consistency is needed among NOP-accredited certifiers," these others. I think that's very important. Consistency is very important. I think that is something that all of us certifiers need to work on.

One minute. "Oregon Tilth also supports the NOSB's proposed exceptions to the general requirement for pasturing ruminants, for birthing, for dairy animals and up to 6 months of age and for beef animals in the final finishing stage, as well as the NOSB clarification that lactation of dairy animals is not a stage of life that may be used to deny pasture for grazing." That is my favorite sentence right there.

"However, we believe the

NEAL R. GROSS

clarifying language should be in 205.239(b)," which is a different section, but that is regarding organic livestock operation. That is contemporary confinement. "Also, we support the language that ruminant animals grazing on pasture during the growing season."

I think that's very well-written and that was well thought out. "And by specifying that ruminant animals will be grazing pasture during the growing season, you can accommodate for regional differences." Thank you.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Leslie?

panelist zuck: That sounded like great policy to me. I feel like one of the things that we have discussed is that with us closing those two loopholes, the temporary confinement based on stage of production being changed to stage of life and also requiring the access to pasture be changed to grazing pasture during the growing season, will really go a long way toward our goal of compliance with the intent of pasture, because, you know,

NEAL R. GROSS

some of the farms that are using those clauses in the rule and interpreting them a little differently than some of the other certification agencies are allowing the interpretation to be -- they do have pasture on their farms and they are pasturing some of their animals.

They are just not pasturing their lactating animals, so they know what pasture is and I feel like at least those two steps would help our compliance problem immensely.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes. You know, somebody who is not here today that had a great quote about the organic community is Keith Jones, whom you all know, and he once said to me, he said, my God, you people can argue about what the meaning of is is. And, you know, it's really true. The regulation is really clear. Organic animals should be consuming pasture during all stages of production.

But somehow through this passive

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

voice construction of access to pasture, the whole thing has slipped through our fingers and it's really unfortunate and I think really clear, simple terminology, grazing at all stages of production, these things I think can, hopefully, help us go back and enforce. I mean, I am all for whatever gets passed in the regs, what we're going to go enforce. If it's 30 percent dry matter intake, fine, that's where we're going to go.

But things like 30 percent matter intake are going to be difficult. going to be hard and do you want me to issue a notice of proposed suspension to a dairy on day 199 that they take the animals back in the barn? Do Ι issue a notice of proposed suspension on 29.5 percent of dry matter intake? Numbers are great. We can all verify them, except I don't know. Dry matter intake, verifying that is going to be a statistical challenge. We're going to end up getting some numerologists in here.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So I think we need to go for the intent and we need to have the intent clear enough that have we know we got enforcement and, you know, we have to work in unison here. We need an Organic System Plan that lays it out transparently, a rule that we can enforce, and then we need to go to a court for a day and get the interpretation. you. Thanks.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Thank you.

Leslie, should the Organic System Plan be the focus of introducing regulatory changes? In other words, should specific requirements for a larger role for pasture be introduced and required in livestock producers' Organic System Plans, as was suggested by the NOSB in its guidance recommendation?

(Audio loss)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Okay. So did you get my question, the question to Leslie?

PANELIST ZUCK: Yes. Could you

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

just repeat the end of it?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: It really says should the change occur at the Organic Systems Plan? Should the regulations address it at the Organic Systems Plan and should specific requirements for a larger role for pasture be introduced and required in livestock producers' Organic Systems Plans, as was suggested by the NOSB in its guidance recommendation?

PANELIST McELROY: Well, if your -

PANELIST ZUCK: I'll take -- I'll defer to Brian.

panelist Mcelroy: Well, I guess my answer would be I don't think so. I don't think we can do it here. The regulation says that the Organic System Plan can look like anything, it can be done in any way, shape or form you want to do it. It just has to comply with the regs. The Organic System Plan, all the wording in the regulation about what the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Organic System Plan looks like and how we enforce with it, is pretty soft.

And, you know, Valerie, I hate to make work for you, but I really think the NOSB would do well to have five or six very widely formatted Organic System Plans in front of them so they can see how extremely broad the interpretation of what an Organic System Plan is and what it looks like.

And that is why I don't think the Organic System Plan is the way to try to enforce something like this where it's clear we need some real regulatory backbone, because we shouldn't be here today, but we are, and that points out that this thing is going to be hard and it has got to be specific and it has got to be tough and that is not going to happen in the OSP as far as regulatory.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Leslie?

PANELIST ZUCK: I read this question as -- I'm not sure exactly. I wasn't sure exactly what they were asking at first,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

but going from there to the next question, I thought maybe they were wondering whether this for increased regulatory change pasture restrictions should be in the part of the rule where it tells you what has to be in Organic System Plan and/or should it also be a standard somewhere else in separate the regulation that we have to follow.

So I thought that was maybe part of what they were asking here and I don't think it really matters. I think that Brian's point is a good one. Whatever it is, we have to be consistent so that we are going to be verifying compliance with the information that And I think his point was that we we need. should take a look at the Organic System Plans there different. t.hat. are out. and how certifiers are gathering that information.

PANELIST STALLEY: And I would just like to say an important element of the System Plan that hasn't been mentioned is that is the producer's plan. He comes up with that

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

or she and they decide how they are going to meet the regulations, not the certifier, not the NOP. A producer decides how they are going to comply.

Enforcement at that level, there could be some enforcement at that level. If you look at that System Plan and you review it before you go to an inspection, as you should, some of those issues may be able to be dealt with before the inspection. And then on inspection is another level of enforcement. The inspector is out there to make sure that plan is accurate and everything that they see here and so on is consistent with the plan.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Did you want to --

PANELIST ZUCK: I think maybe I would like to give an example that will maybe help people understand it. When we ask people to fill out their forms that actually constitute their Organic System Plans, you know, we could ask them do your cows receive a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

minimum of 30 percent dry matter intake from pasture?

We could ask that and the farmer may say yes, then that could be it. And we're like, okay, they do. Okay. Wait, we'll verify it when we get on the farm or they might say I have no idea how to calculate dry matter intake. You know, and that's probably what most of them are going to say, I don't know, or some people do know how to calculate that or what we could do is ask on the Organic System Plan all the information we need in order to calculate that, and that's what most certifiers, I believe, do at this point.

They ask what are your rations, how much have you rationed, how often are your cows out on pasture, how many hours do they go out on pasture, you know, what seasons of the year are they pastured and, you know, ask enough questions about their ration, specific questions, so that then when those forms come into our office, we can make that calculation

NEAL R. GROSS

and verify it.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So that would be an example of a broad range. The question could be do you do this and the farmer says yes or, you know, give us the information and we'll determine whether you do it.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Last question. Should a new standard be developed devoted to addressing a unique role of optimizing pasture in organic ruminant animal production systems?

PANELIST McELROY: Yes, yes. The slight qualification is I don't know if we're -- if optimizing pastures is really what consumers and the constituents to this body want, great, then let's -- we'll go out and enforce the optimizing pasture. But I think we need to get through the first step, which is just we all know the intent of the rule is that organic production systems should include pasture.

It should include pasture at all

NEAL R. GROSS

stages of production, and so let's just try to get there. Let's just get on that step first 30 and then is optimizing percent, is optimizing 10 percent, is optimizing 100 I don't know. So the optimizing percent? word is the only part of this that causes me a little bit of hesitation.

But it is unfortunate that we're here, because I think the process that we went through 10 years ago and from there until now made it very clear what we were all trying to do, and so I would like to get there.

PANELIST ZUCK: I'm going to agree with that.

PANELIST STALLEY: Yes. And I would just like to say in addition we support those NOSB-proposed rulemaking changes. However, I think there needs to be -- and my question was flexibility, so I'm going to continue on the flexibility issue and I'm primarily addressing the NOP here in that we would need some kind of flexibility and some

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

kind of time frame for operations who are not currently meeting that.

I think Albert is a good example. think it's clear that Albert has Τ the intent. His intent is correct. However, his region can't meet that and in all fairness to him and all the other producers in position, there should be some period of time themselves where they can bring into compliance. I don't know what that period should be.

I don't think -- like Brian was saying, do we issue them a notice of proposed suspension? I say no. I say give them a chance to comply.

PANELIST ZUCK: And I will add to that as far as the standard goes, if we were going to be writing, writing a standard for pasture. We need to consider taking a look at the temporary confinement allowance, as well, because certifiers have complete discretion in that area as to determining what is and what

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is not temporary confinement, and how temporary is temporary. And, you know, we did get one of those policy statements a number of years ago that says temporary means temporary and so that was a great help.

I have to say though in all seriousness that we have this problem now, and the flexibility that I -- that's the area where we can find the flexibility in the rule. So that if there is a situation where the farmer can only make 119 days instead of 120 days, and it may be based on some of those factors that's in the temporary confinement section of the rule, but we also need to make sure that it's clear.

And I would like to have something like this added to the temporary confinement section that, you know, something has to happen, that the temporary confinement is only for a period of time that is measured by another factor that you can measure happening, such as if it is because of flood, then at

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

what point, you know, do the cows have to go out again because what we have seen are animals being allowed to be confined temporarily, but with no end in sight, so to speak.

So we do need to take a look at that. It's going to be really important when we put in these, if we do put in these restrictions, and then say okay, well, you know, you had a problem or it might have been a disease outbreak in your area. You had to confine them. You didn't make your 120 days. You didn't make your 30 percent dry matter. The certifier says that's okay. But, you know, there has got to be some oversight there.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Mark, these have been addressed to you. Would you like to comment?

MR. BRADLEY: I would. Mark Bradley, National Organic Program.

I would like to thank you three

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

particularly for coming in and getting up in front of this crowd and me of all people, too. The order of business here is just incredibly well thought out. It wasn't by me, but to have all these producers in here coming in and saying we can do this, we can do this, we can do this and then to have the certifiers getting up there saying we see problems, you know, I tell you, it's very well-taken.

First, Brian, we have had a lot of people coming in, you know, experts talking, farmers talking that these programs, production systems can be developed sustainable manner that with are sustainability demonstrated in the Organic Systems Plan using any variety of methods, you quidelines, proven carrying know, NRCS capacity of the ground, chews per day.

There is all kinds of ways that you can get from here to there on an Organic Systems Plan, setting it up in writing without ever having to go out and do the certification

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

work. You know, that downside audit is to verify that. So you're looking at two different strategies here or two different steps and it's just the same thing that you have been doing all along. They have to have an OSP.

are you saying Now, in comments that you can't, you know, because of the variety of operations and the variety of OSPs, come up with something that demonstrates compliance with that ground or as certifiers, and any of you can answer this, would you prefer to be very flexible on the Organic Systems Plans and then have certified operations keeping copious notes on how many days they keep things out there or how do you propose to verify compliance?

PANELIST McELROY: I got a little lost on the first question, Mark, sorry.

MR. BRADLEY: I did, too.

PANELIST McELROY: Were you addressing specifically the concept of 30

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

percent dry matter intake or were you talking more about the current system right now, why are we finding it hard to enforce access to pasture during lactation?

MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm looking more at it as a "to be" scenario because we all know what we have right now.

PANELIST McELROY: Right.

MR. BRADLEY: But they are talking about 30 percent DMI.

PANELIST McELROY: Right.

MR. BRADLEY: 120 days on pasture as a minimum.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes. I mean, we can go out and we can get people to lay out here is my feed rations, here is my plans, here is when they are in the field and we can get them to estimate what the dry matter intake is going to be, and we'll go back out and when the inspector is there -- I mean, we already do audits, how much organic hay did you buy, how much grain did you buy, you know,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

what is the rest from pasture, yes, when are they out. We can see that. They can keep logs.

So we can get there, but where it's going to get really crazy is, okay, the dry matter intake of this grass, my pasture, is X during the spring and then it goes to Y during the winter and then we have got three months in the fall where it has gone to X minus.

And, you know, I think from the nutritionists' point of view, this dry matter intake isn't just an off the cuff average calculation. The nutritionists are looking at this as really serious, you know, get down to the specifics and really try to get it right and, you know, varying conditions of pasture. This guy is letting it get this long and his strategy is to quit here because he avoids parasites. Other guys, you know, we see it all the way down to the last inch and they are back out there every time it grows another

NEAL R. GROSS

inch.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so, you know, it's just going to be hard. When somebody wants to take that dry matter intake calculation down to a level of detail, it's going to be really time consuming.

PANELIST ZUCK: May I? Well, I'm pretty sure at PCO we wouldn't probably ask our farmers to count how many bites per minute their cows are getting.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes.

PANELIST ZUCK: We could do that, but we rely very heavily on our inspectors when they go out to a farm and they look at the farm as a whole system and they see the grass, they see the cows, they see the condition of the cows, they see the quality of the feed. You know, they are out there and they see the pastures. They see that there is cow paddies in the pastures and that there are fences in the farm, that the cows are out.

And I believe that, you know, this

NEAL R. GROSS

will be one suggested way to do it. I'm not going to say we would do it this way, but the inspector would go out there and they could, you know, determine whether this farm appears to be meeting that standard.

And in the situation where there was a question or a borderline case or a very, very minimal overgrazed pasture, then we could require the farmer to keep specific records and make those changes to their plan, you know, their plan to improve their pasture or to require the animals to go out on pasture or a situation where there aren't -- it appears that they are not putting the animals out on pasture, we could require very specific records in their Compliance Plan to prove that they are meeting the standard.

But, you know, in 99 percent of the cases the inspectors are going to go out to Pennsylvania Certified Organic Farms and see these cows out on pasture, know that they are out 365 days a year, know that -- you guys

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

driving around here, you see there is green grass out there and they are not really going to feel compelled to make the farmer prove it.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes, so why get out the calculator?

PANELIST ZUCK: Right.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes.

MR. BRADLEY: So you're saying that you could, when setting up an initial operation, verify that this farm is capable of maintaining a sustainable system that meets the standard without having to keep tons and tons of, you know, burdensome notes by the farmer?

PANELIST ZUCK: Yes, sort of. The third leg to that would be when we have a new applicant, that's a little different because when the new applicant fills out their first Organic System Plan forms, we want to know beforehand if their plan is going to meet the requirements.

We want to approve that plan in

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

our office, so we need the information and the statistical information at some point before sending an inspector out there, because we usually do an approval process before they go out. But on an ongoing basis, the inspector would be the first line of compliance. That's just a suggestion. Don't hold me to it.

MS. ROBINSON: I don't know whether you do this now or whether you would consider doing it, but when you're about to certify a livestock producer, not just a dairy producer but any livestock producer, is it a matter of course that all livestock producers in their OSP have a Pasture Plan and they have to demonstrate that to you, a defined Pasture Plan?

PANELIST ZUCK: No, not a defined.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes. No, not a defined Pasture Plan. We get a lot of information, but we don't really have a defined Pasture Plan.

MS. ROBINSON: What about

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

considering that as, you know, just something

PANELIST ZUCK: I think the pieces are there in most cases and it could be redeveloped to actually call it that. I mean, we do ask how many acres of pasture do they have, you know, how many hours are the cows out on the pasture, how many hours are they inside. So, I mean, there are pieces of it there.

PANELIST McELROY: Right.

MS. ROBINSON: Right, right.

PANELIST STALLEY: In what level of detail are you thinking? I mean, if you're talking about full implementation of an NRCS Grazing Program, I think that might be overwhelming.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, I'm thinking, obviously if you're a livestock producer, according to this regulation, if you are a livestock producer, you better have pasture, right?

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST STALLEY: Yes. PANELIST ZUCK: Oh, yes. PANELIST STALLEY: That should be in the plan. PANELIST ZUCK: That's already in the reg. PANELIST STALLEY: That's in the plan. That's in? MS. ROBINSON: Okay. It's in the reg? 10 11 PANELIST ZUCK: Yes. MS. ROBINSON: And the OSP is a 12 farmer's business plan, correct? Every farmer 13 who wants to be organic has to have an Organic 14 Systems Plan. That is his elemental business 15 plan for how he is going to -- and it has six 16 elements and he or she has to have that that 17 18 says how they propose to, basically, abide by this regulation, manage their operation, 19 20 whether they are a producer or a processor,

how they are going to meet the regulations,

how they are going to monitor their operation,

21

their goals, their objectives, you know, all the quantitative whatever. I know, Brian, some of them are loose and pretty --

PANELIST McELROY: Yes. That's not what I have seen in the Organic System Plans.

MS. ROBINSON: I know, I know.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes.

MS. ROBINSON: But suppose if you're a livestock producer, it would seem to me you could and you should have a managed Pasture Plan within that Organic Systems Plan.

I mean, you have livestock. Therefore, you should also have a Pasture Plan that also says here is how I intend to manage the pasture, its physical description and then how I'm going to manage it to accomplish the standards in the regulation, and so how I would accomplish the goals of grazing my livestock and meeting the access to pasture, meeting all of the parts that are already in the regulation, right?

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	PANELIST McELROY: It's not the
2	way
3	MS. ROBINSON: I guess that's too
4	idealistic, right?
5	PANELIST McELROY: the
6	accreditation implementation has gone.
7	MS. ROBINSON: But there is an
8	idea. You know, I hear a lot about intent.
9	PANELIST McELROY: The
10	MS. ROBINSON: We can't regulate
11	intent, but we could regulate I mean,
12	that's concrete and that would give you
13	something to enforce. You don't have a
14	Pasture Plan, you don't get certified.
15	PANELIST McELROY: Yes. So then
16	do you have to define what the Pasture Plan
17	looks like? Does the regulatory does the
18	USDA then have to lay out here is the 10
19	questions in the Pasture Plan?
20	MS. ROBINSON: Well, I don't
21	define what the OSP looks like. I would
22	assume that the farmer comes to you with an

OSP and you say this doesn't cut the mustard or it does cut the mustard.

PANELIST McELROY: Right, but now you have got -- well, we have got 96 accredited certifiers. You have got 96 Organic System Plans that you have got to get in the same format and --

MS. ROBINSON: No, no.

PANELIST McELROY: No?

MS. ROBINSON: No. I mean, that's where your flexibility comes in but, you know, you know the reg. You look at it, but you also say for a livestock producer that comes to you, where is your Pasture Plan? How are you going to meet the regulation?

Ι think PANELIST STALLEY: Pasture Plan should be part of an inspection I think a lot of that could be as well. verified on inspection. When the producer fills out their plan, they tell us how many acres of pasture they have. They tell us how each stage many COWS they have at

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

production. And I think if you look at that, you can see if they are within a ballpark where they can meet that.

And then as far as how they are specifically meeting it, I think a good inspector should, if they are doing their job right, find that out for you and put that in their report and that is almost always the case.

And I think rather than trying to figure out what a producer is going to be doing with his farm for a whole entire another year -- because keep in mind that Farm Plan is for the whole year and I have been on enough farms to know that things go in and out the window as the year goes along and plans change. So I really think that will be something better to be incorporated into the inspection report.

PANELIST McELROY: So can I ask a question based on that? If the Organic System Plan has the Pasture Plan and there is no

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

change in the reg, it's only enforced through the Organic System Plan, does the USDA National Organic Program feel like they have enough regulation to be able to enforce, you know, grazing on pasture during lactation? MS. ROBINSON: What I hear Yes. you saying basically is enforce --COURT REPORTER: Microphone,

please. I'm sorry.

MS. ROBINSON: I'm sorry. hear you saying is enforce the regulation.

McELROY: Well, PANELIST yes, okay.

(Applause)

MR. BRADLEY: What you're saying is the reason we're here is to see how much pasture is enough and with the 120 days, we can enforce 120 days with or without the DMI requirement. We can enforce a DMI requirement for as many days as they are out on pasture, but with a number you can do that. Right now they have access to pasture and that's the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

whole problem. I mean, you can say access to pasture when they open the door at midnight and I don't think that reflects the spirit of the rule.

PANELIST McELROY: Right.

But with a number BRADLEY: you can do that. 120 days, DMI, those kind of things, yes. And then, at that point, you can use all the tools that we have been presented with today, over the past couple of days, as to how you're going to get from Point A to Point B in 100 different combinations of ways without being too restrictive on the amount of records that they have keep, but to demonstrate that they have a sustainable plan that they are clearly a pasture-based system.

You can do that, but the Organic Systems Plan is where it has to be. Before you ever go out there on-site, you have to have a game plan to do that. You have to have a business plan and, like John said, you can look at it and see, tell whether or not it's a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

real deal, whether it's sustainable.

I mean, you can work the numbers to demonstrate at least a minimum compliance. And what I'm hearing from all the farmers that are here, that they go way beyond that. For the most part, the people that have adequate access to pasture are going beyond the DMI requirements, the 120 days. Those are minimum.

MEMBER KARREMAN: Can I ask a question? Hugh Karreman, NOSB. With the OSP, that's kind of like the overarching thing and I'm glad if that's possibly enforceable, but we do have to have some, I think, minimums and that is more in the regulation then, right, where we would insert that.

But I know some people that are pretty well-versed in the industry would say that if we took out that access to pasture and we changed it to shall graze during the growing season or whatever the active verb would be, and we take out the exemption for

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

stage of production and change it to a
specific, like they were saying yesterday,
sick cows can be off the pasture or very young
stock, would that taking those two
loopholes out, would we be where we want to be
for the certifiers to enforce what we're
trying to accomplish here in this symposium?
That is my first question. I have
another, but so if we take out those two
loopholes and add in the Organic Systems Plan,
intent and everything, is that going to be
enforceable in a court of law?
PANELIST ZUCK: I would say most
certifiers I know are enforcing the pasture
standard now.
MEMBER KARREMAN: Then how are
some not?
PANELIST ZUCK: Because of the
it isn't being forced against the certifiers.
PARTICIPANT: That's an NOP
question.
DANELICT CTALLEY: Vec that

really is an NOP question, I believe.

MEMBER KARREMAN: Then how is it then that some are not enforcing it? How are some certifiers not enforcing it? Is it because of those two supposed loopholes? I'm just wondering. I want to know.

MR. BRADLEY: Mark Bradley, National Organic Program. The problem comes in when they are interpreting the rules, when they are saying what is access. That is where, you know, the flexibility in their mind comes in. So getting a number would get us to having that.

What you're saying about grazing during the growing season, you may run into problems when you have, you know, very arid climates that, you know, there won't be a real grazing season. They are having to operate strictly on irrigated ground, bringing in fjords, those types of things. And that gets to, you know, what do the people want? What do they want that standard to reflect? And if

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

it's minimum 120 days, then we can work with that number.

MEMBER KARREMAN: And that kind of goes to the second question. There is a lot of factors or variables we can look at, 120 days, 30 percent. We heard three cows per acre. I tossed out eight hours per day during some deliberations in the Livestock Committee meeting and someone else has mentioned percent biomass to do clippings occasionally.

Now, okay, so that's five factors, that perhaps to take into account different geography of the country that could the certifiers or the NOP allow that if there is like two out of the five or three out of the five that are met, depending on your geography and whatnot, would that be okay or would that be giving loopholes?

So let's say you could make 120 days, eight hours a day, three cows per acre, let's just say three of the five factors I have mentioned here that have been tossed

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

around, would that be enforceable and would that, you know, ensure customer, you know, assurance that the milk is from cows out on pasture? Do you understand what I'm saying? Options. That's what I'm asking then. Can there be options to choose from?

PANELIST ZUCK: Well, there's a couple of levels. You're asking about whether something is enforceable and the certifier's role is to verify compliance with that. You know, we would verify compliance with those factors, you know, and whether it is then enforceable comes down to whether that -- if that client were to appeal because we denied certification, then it shifts over to the enforcement arm of this reg, which is over there.

MEMBER KARREMAN: Right. I apologize. I probably should have asked the former group, but I did want to ask it at some point during the symposium. But, you know, basically, can you have two out of five

NEAL R. GROSS

options, three out of five and make it to be certified an organic dairy? PANELIST STALLEY: I think that's going to complicate the matter, personally. Who gets certified, the guy that makes two out of five, the guy that makes three out of five? MEMBER KARREMAN: Oh, no, no, no. You say it's going to be three out of five. You got to meet three of these five things at least. 10 11 PANELIST STALLEY: I would rather see where you have to meet all of them. 12 13 (Applause) MEMBER KARREMAN: I mean, it would 14 take into account geographic variability is 15 16 what I'm trying to help you --ZUCK: Well, also, 17 PANELIST Ι 18 think that that is a good point because if there is a pasture standard, there would be a 19 list of factors that the certifier uses to 20 21 demonstrate compliance to determine whether

there is adequate pasture and those things

would be listed there, and the goal should be to meet them all.

And if we do have a producer who isn't meeting all of them and there is nine and they are meeting eight, then that is a noncompliance and they work toward meeting that other one in the next round of certification, the next year or the next three months or whatever period of time we would allow them to do that.

I mean, that would be one way that that could happen, essentially require compliance with all of those factors that you named but, you know, at least be able to certify them if, you know, they have demonstrated the ability to those meet requirements even if they are not meeting them right now.

MEMBER KARREMAN: I guess what I'm thinking about, let's say like Albert where his intent is fully there. Everyone is saying that, right? Okay. Okay. But he is going to

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

get snagged, let's say, or someone like him by, you know, just if we look at two factors, that's it.

Whereas, if there were, you know, four or five to choose from, he may well make it and he has the full intent there in the spirit of law and whatnot, but because of where he is located, it's a little more difficult. But, gee whiz, you know, he has got the intent.

PANELIST ZUCK: I did hear some of the farmers saying -- I brought that up at one of the meetings we had, you know, whether we could do either/or, you know? Some farmers, it might be easier for them to keep track of the 120 days, so many hours.

Other farmers might prefer to keep track of the dry matter intake since it seemed to me that they were tied together, one sort of equaled the other, and it was brought up that, well, you know, you could have 120 days on pasture and not get anywhere near that

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	percentage dry matter intake.
2	You know, so that's not my
3	opinion. That was just something that the
4	farmers felt that they had a serious concern
5	that that might be unfair because it would
6	lower the minimum.
7	MEMBER KARREMAN: Okay. I will
8	finish on this, but this would be assuming
9	that the current descriptions of the pasture,
10	giving nutritive, you know, value to the cows
11	and whatnot, all the soil erosion stuff and
12	whatnot, are still in place.
13	PANELIST ZUCK: Right. Oh, yes.
14	MEMBER KARREMAN: Sorry if I
15	didn't mention that.
16	PANELIST ZUCK: Yes, and I agree
17	with that, yes. I feel that we could manage
18	with an either/or situation.
19	MEMBER KARREMAN: Okay.
20	PANELIST ZUCK: Brian?
21	MEMBER KARREMAN: I don't know if
22	it goes over there.

MEMBER GIACOMINI: Dan Giacomini, NOSB. In regards to the dry matter intake, I work with this on a daily basis and sometimes it takes me months to determine what dry matter intake is. When you're dealing with a situation of someone saying well, no, my cows aren't 1,000 pound body weight, they 1,100, no, it's not 3 percent, it's 4 percent, my grass is 18 percent not 20, how do you within the time frame verify that inspection? PANELIST McELROY: No, I don't. PANELIST ZUCK: Yes. PANELIST McELROY: I don't. Τ don't want to. I can't. I'm not qualified. You win. PARTICIPANT: You can't do that. PANELIST McELROY: Yes, I know. Ι mean, I hear you. I mean, I have worked with Dan and I have worked with some of the other

NEAL R. GROSS

come to me with some pretty complex scenarios.

nutritionists in the region and they have all

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST ZUCK: Yes, I mean, and if there is a standard and we're asked to verify compliance with that standard and we're told how to verify compliance, we'll do it.

MEMBER MOYER: Jeff Moyer, NOSB. In that same vein of thought, would it -- and that is why Hugh just mentioned the idea of biomass cuts. Would it be possible for producers to take cuts every -- even if it's only every 30 days, so you have some idea of what the cows were in. You would have the number of days they are standing out there. At least you would have some idea of whether they are standing on dirt or whether they are standing on grazable pasture.

PANELIST McELROY: I think we can figure out whether they are standing on dirt or grazable pasture without taking biomass every three months.

MEMBER MOYER: But without some sort of documentable number, we are back where we are today.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PANELIST ZUCK: Well, I --

MEMBER MOYER: Unless you can document that they are standing on something that is grazable and how do you measure that and document it, I don't know.

PANELIST ZUCK: I don't really know much about biomass cuts. I imagine somebody has to do it and analyze it and pay for it and all that, but I do say that, you know, still it would be unfair to the 125 certified organic dairy farmers that clearly have pasture, there's no question about it that those cows are grazing pasture higher, 60, 70 percent dry matter intake for pasture, and be asking them to do those sort of tests to prove it. I don't think that would be fair.

PANELIST STALLEY: I would like to take the middle road here if I may. I think the calculation is doable. I think it could be done in the Farm Plan by the producer. I saw the formula yesterday. Is it still out

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

there? But it's not that hard to calculate it once for the whole year. You have already got all that information. Throw it into the formula, see where it comes out.

And I wouldn't argue with anybody that that's full of holes and there's all kinds of problems with that. Yes, there is lots of problems with that. There is lots of variables in this, but we need something, some kind of teeth or something, that we can do to make sure that -- and, yes, that is very oversimplifying pasture management, but maybe it's a number. It's better than no number.

And it has got to be something that the producer -- we have got to keep in mind dairy farmers need to -- we need to implement something they can do. They already have trouble with the paperwork. Let's not make it harder for them.

PANELIST ZUCK: And we did have farmers concerned that they really didn't know. They were concerned like they are

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

certified, they are going through their year and then this pasture standard is going to come up to them and they are not going to even know if they have met it throughout the year. They are nervous about that. They want to comply. They want to make sure they can comply and they don't know if they do. We have to keep their point of view in mind as well.

MEMBER SMILLIE: Following up on the easy tool, and I hate to beat the poor cow to death, but would it be fair to say that what I heard from you guys earlier that a clarification, a definition and a stiffening of temporary confinement and the same thing, clarification, stiffening and defining of grazing pasture at all stages of production, is that the route that you three would prefer to go rather than, let's say, the combination of five factors including the 30 percent and the 120 days?

PANELIST McELROY: You know, we'll

NEAL R. GROSS

go out and we'll enforce whatever gets passed by law and by regulation. SMILLIE: MEMBER That's clear. That's clear that you'll -- you know, that's our job. PANELIST McELROY: Yes. We enforce MEMBER SMILLIE: regulations, but do you think that we would achieve the intent of the law and the intent 9 of what we have heard for the last two days 10 11 and for the three years, five years, 10 years previously? Do you think that we could do 12 that, enforce compliance to the intent of the 13 law by cleaning up and stiffening those two 14 sections, temporary confinement and grazing 15 pasture at all stages of production? 16 PANELIST McELROY: I quess --17 I think it will 18 PANELIST ZUCK: help. 19 PANELIST McELROY: Yes. 20 21 PANELIST ZUCK: But it's not going

NEAL R. GROSS

to satisfy the needs of, I think, the farmers

in this room and the consumers who are mostly not in this room. I think it would help greatly and it would get us a huge step forward from where we are, but it's probably not where we want to be yet.

panelist stalley: And I would just like to say that I really think the language needs to be changed. It's not working the way it is now. You know, just set all that other stuff aside. It needs to be changed.

MEMBER CAROE: Andrea Caroe, NOSB.

My questions -- actually, I would like you to comment on something that I see happening. I see that there is actually two factors at work here. One, we have intent which is reflected in plans or the Organic Systems Plan, but then the other part of this is how you implement the plan, which is what certifiers verify. Is it being implemented and is it working?

And so in your role in verifying that plan, in verifying that it is effective,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

what tools or what metrics wouldn't be necessary for you to be able to quantify whether that is effective or not and are you concerned? And I think I heard this from you, Brian, that you're concerned with setting those numbers and really being able to be comfortable with somebody that falls below that benchmark and saying, I'm sorry, you're not certifiable.

PANELIST McELROY: Yes. It is much easier to deal with things like fungicide treated seed. It is prohibited. It's a lot harder to deal with 10 percent fungicide treated seed as allowed. You know, it's -- it would, in my mind, and it is a lot easier to deal with cows will graze. They must graze at all stages of production during the growing season. It seems to me that that is a standard and I think we can enforce it.

But I am concerned with a number that individuals can't meet for some regional issue or some specific thing and, you know, do

NEAL R. GROSS

we start causing people harm at 25 percent dry matter intake or 35 percent dry matter intake? So maybe dry matter intake is a range, you know. Do you want to give us a range? That's something that's a little easier to enforce in. I don't know.

know, totally off the topic, I think, the uniform reg was the biggest mistake we ever made, because we all have to put on the same jacket. We all have to get inside the box. A baseline everybody has to get on the baseline, it's much easier to enforce. Now, if people want to go above, to the side, below, fine, let them go, but everybody gets on the floor.

But because we have got this uniform reg, if you put a number on it, if you put a number on a uniform reg, everybody has got to be there and that's a problem.

PANELIST ZUCK: And the tools that you were speaking or asking about, Andrea, I have to continue to say that our biggest and

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

best tool are the eyes of our inspectors. They are going out to these farms and they — there is really no question about compliance on the huge percentage of the farms. And where there is questionable is where we would need to have the numbers. And that's why the farmers are pushing for it, because we need it for the questionable areas.

I mean, Andrea PANELIST McELROY: and Joe, you guys are in certification, too. You've been involved in certification, so you are as aware as we are of how did we get here. this public/ Ι mean, is а private partnership. The public agrees be regulated and the regulation is established for the public interest. If we all figure out how to make the regulation not quite work, because we want to weasel word this or figure out that, then we go back and we apply more regulation and the public doesn't like being over regulated.

So the public/private partnership

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

here is that if we're going to continue to push the limits of the regulation to get whatever we want whatever way we can, then we're going to get ourselves more regulation that we may or may not want. So, you know, there is 90 percent of the milk going through about five marketing points and, you know, those parties are in control of this thing. avoid additional And if they want to regulation, then they can pretty much solve this problem overnight.

(Applause)

MEMBER JAMES: Ι know we're running close on time, so I'll just skip to one question, even though I have several. First, thank you for your certification expertise and your presentations. I know you are speaking on behalf of a lot of other certifiers out there who may not necessarily adhere to the same principles that organization stands for.

I want to go back to the comment

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

about that John you made regarding regulations not being quite clear enough and I wanted to just read this 205.237(a), "The producer of an organic livestock operation must provide livestock with a total agricultural products, ration composed of including pasture." And so even though I understand that you are saying that the NOP should be responsible for reinforcement, I say that this is ultimately going to be a huge issue for certifiers, no matter how it comes out.

And how did we get to the point where with this being very clear, I mean, you know, I'm not a certifier, but it seems very operations clear that there are to me currently not adhering to this, that's why we're here, so how is it that a certifier would not interpret this and look at Organic System Plan to be held to this regulation that's documented.

PANELIST STALLEY: That's a very

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

good question and as far as I think it
comes down to interpretations and there are
some interpretations that when I hear them, I
can't believe what I'm hearing, seriously.
Access to pasture. Okay. I cut the hay and I
brought it across the road and I threw it I
gave it to the livestock. I fed it to the
livestock. They have access to that pasture.
I'm bringing it to them. Now, that's not the
intent. But that's a real life scenario from
what I understand.
MEMBER JAMES: Okay. Cell phones
that go off, you have to buy everybody a
drink.
PARTICIPANT: I'm sorry.
(Applause)
PARTICIPANT: That's his reminder
that he has to be on a plane.
PARTICIPANT: I have to get on a
plane in about 10 minutes, so I have to keep
my clock going. I'm sorry.

MEMBER JAMES: Okay. You know, I

raise this because I think it's really a very, very important question to this particular issue and that we will be going around this gerbil cage forever until we figure out how in the world can we get the certifiers to help adhere to obvious --

PANELIST STALLEY: No, I agree and I've been there. I've gone through it and I feel stupid as hell for it. But it boils down to okay, so I gave them access to pasture. Here it is. You never told me it had to be this much or this big or this tall. Yes, that's right. Okay. You gave them access to pasture, but, you know, I think there could be continuous improvement.

Well, how long are you going to just poke me in the side and tell me continuous improvement? Tell me what I got to do. How many acres do you want? How many cows on how many acres? And, you know, looking through the rule, I don't have it. I can't tell you. Well, then I did it. I'm

NEAL R. GROSS

done. You know, and I don't feel good about it, but there is how we end up in those situations.

pasture, total feed Access to ration. Is the total feed ration average for Yes, generally, in the Organic the year? System Plan we're talking about a total feed ration description that descriptive of just today and not operation, not tomorrow, but through the whole year. end up with a total feed ration that describes access to pasture, but then you start digging in to it and you find out the dry cows have a lot of access to pasture, that's through the stage of production of becoming a mature animal that can be pregnant, that can be That's all pasture. milked.

You know, there is great pockets.

The system as a whole has great pasture access, but then there are these pockets.

There is just none any more. And that's how we end up in these things.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MEMBER JAMES: Would it be helpful if the certifiers, all certifiers had a general outline for the OSP that would basically make it very clear what is expected for the Organic System Plan?

PANELIST STALLEY: Yes, I think a general outline on the Organic System Plan all the way from, you know, identifying parcels all the way through to the end of the livestock, for growing materials you use production and handling and that's, you know, why I have asked that the National Organic Standards Board at some point just survey some of the OSPs that are being used by Accredited Certification Programs and ask yourself if that tool is really working the way everybody expects it to work. Because my expectation is no it's not working the way you think it works.

MEMBER JAMES: Okay. And I will just add to the access to pasture example that the enforcement depends on the interpretation

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of the program, the program's ability to enforce that. So in other words, if this producer comes to us and says what John -- the scenario that John gave where they are just clipping the pasture and bringing it over and we deny certification, we say oh, gosh, that doesn't wash with PCO, that ain't pasture and they appeal it, then it's up to them to decide whether they can go forward with that based on what's in the rule and what, you know, they have in their tool box. Am I right?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Do you have a question? I do have one question from the audience and I remind everyone that all these questions will be scanned. Sorry. I do have one question from the audience that I would like to throw in, because I think it's no less or no more important than others, but we don't have time for all of them. And all of these questions will be scanned into the record.

But the question is do we have any idea of how many farms operations or whatever

NEAL R. GROSS

they are are operating without meaningful access to pasture? Well, that's the back. That is the back. I mean, the original question was does anyone know who prior to OFPA was certifying dairy without access to pasture and the second part is do we know now how many dairies that don't have pasture being certified?

PANELIST McELROY: Well, prior to OFPA, I do not believe that CCOF standards had a very detailed access to pasture. I think it was a very detailed Pasture Program. It was 100 percent organic feed. That was the real focus of and it's why CCOF didn't certify any dairy until the National Organic Program was implemented. But, no, we did not have a Pasture Program prior to the NOP.

PANELIST ZUCK: No, the same answer.

PARTICIPANT: I can't answer that.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: And I would add the historical perspective to this is that

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

all of us who were producing and sitting at the NOSB in the '90s had no access to organic labeling in dairy or livestock. And so one of the reasons we are in the dilemma today is that this is an evolving process where most of us didn't have experience. I mean, we had our own experiences and we knew what we were doing, but it was -- it is an imperfect process and it's a perfect process, because it's always going to be evolving and that's where we are today.

I would like to take just about a two minute break to change from regulation -- from certifiers to the consumer and thank these guys very much for coming up.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Order or there will be no lunches. So those of you who are interested in having lunch, please, take your seats. If you are not, please, leave the room.

(Whereupon, at 11:24 a.m. a recess

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

until 11:33 a.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: We're now beginning the session marketing expectations and consumer perceptions. We're going to begin with Margaret Wittenburg from Whole Foods Market.

MS. FRANCES: And just a quick comment, yellow cards for market questions.

I'll be passing those out.

PANELIST WITTENBURG: All right. Thank you. It has been great being able to be here with you today. I'm a former NOSB member and have a lot of heartfelt thanks for all of you here. I know how much work it is, but it's very gratifying work and a very much pleasure to be part of the audience as well in this really important symposium.

So what I'm going to talk about is the market expectations perceptions. I mean, obviously, you do all this work, all the farmers do the work to get the product according to the organic standards and then

NEAL R. GROSS

you have to sell it and that's where the retailers come in. And I am from Whole Foods Market. I've been with the company for 25 years and had my own store in Wisconsin before that for four years. So this is near and dear to my heart and near and dear to the people that work at Whole Foods Market. Many of us have been here in the industry for 20 plus years.

I'm Okay. So what going talking about are these three main questions. What are consumer perceptions and expectations about organic? What are consumer perceptions and expectations about organic dairy and pasture? And what are Whole Foods Market's expectations as a retailer regarding pasture and organic dairy?

Okay. Well, for several years Whole Foods Market has done its own organic trend tracker. We check about 1,000 customers who represent the American population each year and asked them about their consumption of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

organic and things of change and so forth. This past year, this is the fall of 2005, nearly two-thirds of Americans have tried organic foods and beverages and this is certainly a jump from the last two prior years and from just over half.

In 2005, people were saying that 10 percent of them consumed organic foods several times a week, this up from 7 percent in 2004. And 27 percent said that the consume more organic foods and beverages than they did in 2004.

Now, the awareness of the Organic Seal, many of us were anxious to have the Organic Seal, because that was going to be the demarkation for the consumers that they knew that there were consistent standards throughout the country. And we find that there definitely is an awareness of the USDA's Organic Seal, especially among organic users, but even the general public, the GP means general public, even they are aware of the

NEAL R. GROSS

organic seal.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The impact has definitely had a positive impact on organic users that their purchases, aqain, increased that consistency of knowing that the standards are throughout all real and make sense Now, the perceptions of the term country. organic, you've probably seen this chart quite a few times and, you know, when people are asking consumers about what they think about organic, these are the typical things that chemical pesticides, come up: No chemicals, natural ingredients, no additives, artificial preservatives, flavors, no artificial colors, highly processed, not better for the environment and so forth and so on.

I think it's interesting that for the general public the organic consumers and the non-users all are pretty much in sync with this. Different percentages, but as far as what's the most important to them, it goes

NEAL R. GROSS

down the line. And we have also found that there is a real progression of people going from kind of expanding the organic horizons of what they get into.

Stage 1, dairy is definitely that.

People who don't even know much about organic know that there is something different about organic dairy milk and we will get into that and find that is one of the first stages of getting into the buying more organic food. But we found that with the organic dairy demanding growing, there is certainly a lot more outlets and a lot more channels that consumers are able to get into.

So now, supply is very short. The current growth demand per year we're finding is 25 to 30 percent. The projected growth with our new supply of organic milk is 15 to 20 percent giving us a projected shortfall of 10 to 15 percent. And we figured this equals about 100,000 cows per year needing to get into dairy each year. And this isn't even

NEAL R. GROSS

looking at organic dairy ingredients, such as cheese, milk powder, etcetera.

And the organic price premium is real. This is something we did price check in San Rafael in February of this year and you're looking at quite a significant price premium. Consumers are willing to pay that, because they feel that they are getting something special.

We know that. We know what Okay. organic people are looking for in general about organic, but what about pasture? It's a question that people haven't specifically asked and we felt was really important to ask. Within that, we have the -- you know, consumers' concerns are really growing about Food safety, we their food. know pesticides, antibiotics and hormones. are very concerned about that.

Animal welfare is definitely an issue, especially with Whole Foods Market consumers and our Whole Foods Market

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

leadership. Transparency, the source, people want to know about where their food comes from. It's very important to them to have that connection. And then land management and environmental standards is very important as well, looking at land stewardship and sustainability.

There has been a lot of media on organic in general. And just a snippet on organic dairy and I think this is one of the most interesting ones that was in the New York Times in November and they were saying that the ethos of organic milk, one that has cartons reinforced conjures lush pastures and so forth and so on, things that have been brought up before in these past couple of days.

But I think this was an interesting quote that they said, "But choosing organic milk doesn't guarantee much beyond this. It comes from a cow whose milk production was not prompted by an artificial

NEAL R. GROSS

growth hormone, whose feed was not grown on pesticides and which had access to pasture, a term so vague it could mean that a cow might spend most of its milk-producing life confined to a feed lot eating grain and not grass." So the question that was out there to the consumers, is what is being portrayed, is that really happening?

thought let's ask our consumers. Again, how many people actually asked specifically been about We felt let's ask. We have a pasture? Fl@vors email newsletter that people can opt We have many, many, many thousands of into. people who have opted into that in our 183 stores that we have.

And so what we did is we can send out a survey to our customers, and we did this on just April 12th, this is quite recent, and here is a question that we asked. We said "When choosing organic milk and choosing other dairy products, what is important to you about

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the conditions in which the organic dairy cattle are raised? Check all that apply. Spend more time outdoors than indoors; most of their food is from pasture; have access only to the area outside of the barn; have access to the outdoors only on nice days; raised on pasture all year round; have access to the outdoors when they choose; and have access to pasture a couple hours a day."

So the results, in one day we had 18,450 responses. This is an important issue for people and I haven't read what are the highest answers there. Spend more outdoors than indoors, 60 percent of these people, most of their food is from pasture, 69 percent, raised on pasture all year round, 42 percent, have access to the outdoors when they choose, 51 percent. These are consumers of organic dairy at Whole Foods Market and giving their opinion, and this is just in a chart form where you can see how all that played out.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

thought, well, let's So we people who are not our customers, so we did the same question in the same week to 1,000 respondents representative of the U.S. adult population, did this online, and interestingly enough the same issues were highlighted. know, the percentages were different because these weren't organic consumers, but, again, spend more time outdoors than indoors, most of their food is from pasture, raised on pasture all year round, have access to outdoors when choose. they Those were the highest assumptions of people of what organic milk should be and is.

line for So bottom consumers. Organic dairy is considered the gold standard with high expectations. They are paying a They are expecting a lot. Pasture-based year round not simply access to pasture for animals raised organically along with pasture supplying much of the animals' nutrition is assumed by most Whole Foods

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Market and general public as status quo and few can fathom animals from whom our derived certified organic products would have anything less.

Okay. So now, how about retailer, what do we or how do we feel about Well, we have been real clear about it. it? In March of last year we gave testimony to the National Organic Standards Board, said Whole Market national Foods supports а standard which requires that all ruminant livestock be grazed on pasture in order to allow that livestock to fulfill its natural behavior as closely as possible and to respect the expectations of organic consumers.

September 2005, we put all of our producers on notice and said we believe that organic consumers expect that organic milk comes from cows which are given access to pasture. We fully expect our organic dairy vendors to meet or exceed the recommendations made by the NOSB with regard to the amount of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pasture provided for animals, percent of dry matter intake from pasture and the percentage of time per animal spent on pasture.

Now, within Whole Foods Market the animal welfare is so important to us, we felt we wanted to highlight the producers who are really doing an outstanding job and really focusing on the animal, and also to push the industry knowing that, one, there was a market for this and also just to really encourage innovation to get this moving a little faster.

So created we our own animal compassionate standards, started this 2004, November of and it is а further enhancement of our already very strict natural animal standards. And one of the prior folks kind who giving his talk here was referenced that it's not very easy getting meat in at Whole Foods Market. My team looks farm. in it. We're the We're at on slaughterhouses. We're really looking asking pointed we're some very, very

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

questions.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And my team goes out there and checks to see what they have written us their verification form actually is true, so we check it out. So, anyway, we have the natural standards also wanted and we highlight meat that is even that step above. So we will have animal compassion meat as our producers are able to meet those hiqh standards.

look website, You can on our wholefoodsmarket.com, and you can see the current, the ones that we have already done, which is beef cattle, ducks, pigs, sheep. almost done with broiler chickens. We're We're near completion with turkeys and chicken egg layers and dairy cattle are starting -are going to be done this year. And we know dairy cattle is going to be a tough one, but we know it can be done and I think a lot of the producers today have said that it can be done.

NEAL R. GROSS

But what's real important for you to know that on these -- on all the species specific standards, pasture-based production is a given. And it's based on what a clear definition of what constitutes a pasture. Barns are considered as places to visit for temporary shelters.

So our guiding principles, when we create these animal compassion standards, Goal A is to maximize the welfare of the animal. Goal B is to minimize cost and maximize efficiencies. We know that Goal B cannot be ignored. You have to have people that are still in business, but we're making it subordinate to Goal A.

And the biggest tenets that we have it's animals can practice their natural behaviors and maintain highest health in pasture-based systems. We have what we call the five people in the airport test. We've got this from Temple Grandin, who we work with quite a lot, and she says, and I know Temple

NEAL R. GROSS

does this, when she is on a plane she has got her pictures and she will say to the people next to her on the plane what is this -- does this look like something that you expect? Is this how you think animals should be raised? And she will show them pictures, all, you know, types of pictures.

And we felt that was a really good way of perception is this what our customers are expecting is happening. So we often refer to that as five people in the airport test when we are creating our standards. And these standards, by the way, are multi-stakeholder group. We have animal advocate groups. There we have the producers, our producers of that particular species we deal with. We have animal welfare scientists, literally from all around the world, and many of us in leadership who are very much involved in this.

Then we also created an animal compassion foundation, because we realized that there is a lot of education and a lot of

NEAL R. GROSS

sharing of information that needs to be done and that a lot of producers want to do it, but really don't know how to do it. So we created this network to be as a portal and also we are funding a lot of studies on animal welfare systems and experiments that people can't get funding for other places.

So for us the big picture, demand The market opportunity is exceeds supply. growing. Dairy is definitely a key crossover item for the organic consumers. The organic expectations consumer continue to grow including marketing transparency and organic dairy producers now are already pasture-based. And I think we've got to remember that.

So what is -- our hope is it's market bottom line. The integrity of organic dairy standards including a pasture-based system as requirement is more important than watering them down to increase supply or keep prices down.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

(Applause)

PANELIST WITTENBURG: The National Organic Standard should ensure that all our farmers provide optimum conditions for their cows, including a standard for pasture be clearly stated in order to assure consumers that their expectations for organic or dairy are being met. A level playing field benefits farmers and consumers. Anything less will diminish the value of organic.

(Applause)

PANELIST WITTENBURG: So the next step as we see it, really support this public/private partnership with the National Organic Standards Board. You know, again, as being a former member and as our company being a strong supporter, the NOSB is similar to us at Whole Foods Market where we look at our consumers. NOSB is for the USDA to really get that pulse of what the country is looking for for organic and should be listened to.

The USDA's pasture advanced notice

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of rulemaking and this symposium is fabulous. It is providing the opportunity for all voices to be heard. We need to keep the process moving and have a defined date for completion.

then, lastly, we And should an approach to livestock support rearing standards, including pasture access, the animal focuses and then balances expectations regarding consumer organic integrity with workable standards for farmers. Thank you.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Thank you.

Thank you, Margaret. It's my pleasure to welcome Maryellen Molyneaux here. Maryellen is the President of Natural Marketing Institute.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to address the symposium. I was asked to do some very specific research around these issues that I'm

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

going to present this morning, just a little bit of background on the Natural Marketing Institute for those of you who are not familiar with us.

We're strategic business a consulting firm and market research company specializes in health, wellness sustainability. What truly differentiates us is our databases. We now have over 300,000 consumers with in our databases comprehensive information on what they want, what they do, why they act, why they don't, what their needs are, etcetera, within all areas of health and wellness and this one was a particular one that we wanted to go into a little bit deeper after some discussions with USDA and Bob Anderson.

Just to talk about the research itself, which I think is very important to give you a perspective on this this morning, because we have heard a lot of comments about what consumers want and we have seen a little

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bit of real research from Whole Foods. And I want to start by saying what the objectives were, to really understand from consumers what their usage levels were of specific organic products and specific organic dairy, what their reasons for use are and what the relative importance of the pasture issues are to consumers.

And I do mean the relative importance. And one of the things that we spent a lot of time in trying to delineate, both with my team, my writers, RPHDs that did the analysis and with USDA, was to have an unbiased survey. This is extremely important because you could do qualitative research in this issue.

Go talk one-on-one with consumers, go do focus groups, go talk to them just without any aided questions, without any multiple choice lists and so forth and ask them why they use organic dairy. And I can tell you that I have done before we started

NEAL R. GROSS

this and I continue to do it. I have done about 50 one-on-one interviews in the process over the last month. Never once, never once did a consumer address the issue of pasture with me until I brought it up.

atop mine Their issue of qualitative research antibiotics were What we tried to do in research though was to quantify some of these things, because that is really important. need to have an unbiased survey that nationally projectable that is going to give you some numbers. So we started with 1,000 U.S. adult population balanced to Census. included within the survey the identification of organic product users, their frequency of use and specifically organic milk and other organic dairy products.

It was an online methodology conducted between March $23^{\rm rd}$ and March $26^{\rm th}$ of this year. And, as I mentioned before, we wanted to get at the relative importance of

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pasture, so we used advanced regression analysis in a classification and regression tree to determine the true drivers of frequent usage and I'm going to show you some of that this morning.

So the data that you're looking at at the 95 percent confidence level is accurate to plus or minus 3 percent. Please, remember the plus or minus 3 percent, as I go through the presentation, I'm going to refer you to this so you can see where the different levels of importance are and how different they truly are.

all, First of when asked we consumers what they use, we went across six different categories and the results of this very much agreed with our national survey and our health and wellness trends data. The fresh fruits and vegetables, 44 percent of the population said that they have used them in the past six months. Packaged foods drops to Dairy and milk, organic dairy milk 28.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

products, about one fourth of the population,
24 percent. That is in comparison to the 96
percent of the general population that use any
kind of dairy products.

Organic personal care drops to 21. Beverages beyond milk, 20 percent, clothing and linens in а very emerging category at 7 percent. You net any usage and over half the population, about 53 percent of consumers, have used at least one of these organic product categories within the past six months.

When we look at the specific users of organic dairy other than milk and users of organic milk within the survey, we wanted to see what their usage was and what the cross usage of categories is, because we have seen from our other research that these two categories are very important to all organic categories. So you see the general population usage here in the second column, which is the numbers I just showed you on the previous

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

chart, and then see the difference on the percentage of users from organic dairy users, organic milk users.

Organic dairy and milk users are significant users of other organic categories. It's extremely important what you're doing today because these are integrated consumers that can affect the rest of the organic industry. And I may get on my soapbox this morning and I'm going to ask you to excuse me, but I get very upset at emails that around asking for boycotts. qo It's ridiculous because it will affect the entire organic industry, not two companies. Be aware of how much they use.

(Applause)

panelist Molyneaux: These two groups are significant users of all other organic categories. 88 percent, 89 percent use organic produce. Three- quarters use organic packaged foods. Two-thirds use other beverages. 70 percent of organic dairy users

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

also use organic milk. The same, threequarters of organic milk users, the 74 percent use other organic dairy.

Over 50 percent of them use organic personal care and almost a quarter of them use organic clothing and linens. They are two to four times more likely to use all organic categories. It's extremely important that you address their concerns and address them correctly and responsibly.

When we look at the users of all the categories that are here, those two columns in yellow are the ones that I just reviewed with you, you can see that we do have some very high usage, cross usage, across categories. So you have category users going across here. So users of organic produce, about 57 percent of them used packaged foods, about a third of them use organic milk. Okay.

The produce user, about a third of them, 36 percent using organic milk, 38 percent using other organic dairy. As you

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

look again at these two consumer groups in comparison to other consumers, you have got very high usage in comparison and the only other ones that might be more integrated might be users of organic clothing and linen. New emerging categories will present that way.

We clearly see as we look across here produce as an entry category and then packaged foods, and you can see milk really coming down a little bit. But, again, these consumers that use these categories are integrated users of all.

When we look at their frequency of usage, you can see here that users of organic milk and other organic dairy right in the middle there in the white and that light yellow column, have a frequency of about almost 50 percent that use more than once per week.

So in comparison to personal care, clothing and linens, they use them higher, but that makes sense when you think about the

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

category. If they are going to buy those products, they are the type of products that they will use every day. But organic dairy products are used more than organic packaged foods and slightly more than fresh fruits and vegetables. So, again, it's an important category that is getting frequent usage.

When we look at the general public, and I'm going to show you information by general population and I'm going to show you the same information by organic users, organic dairy users, and then I'm going to show you information by other organic users that don't use organic dairy so you can see the comparisons.

The first thing that is important here as we look at the charts, this is what is important to them. We asked consumers and gave them a long list of attributes to find out what was important when they thought about organic milk and organic dairy products, what attributes were important. So this is top two

NEAL R. GROSS

box, extremely, somewhat, out of 5. So out of five levels, these are the top two boxes.

The most important thing were no additives, no artificial ingredients. Next was hormones. Next was humane treatment followed by antibiotics. What I want you to see in the yellow bars is that pasture is here at 55 percent. Yes, it's important, but significantly less important than the issues of ingredients and humane treatment.

So as we asked consumers what is important them, this is the to general population. So just note the ranking here and remember that plus or minus 3 percent difference. That's why I'm showing you that these yellow bars at the top are significantly more important to consumers than these two at the bottom.

When we look at organic dairy users you see the same break. Notice the jump in the percentages. You went from 60 percentiles at the top to 87 percents at the

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

top. This is among organic dairy users. 87 percent say contain no hormones is important. Then it's about artificial additives. Organic feed is extremely important at 84 percent. Same for no antibiotics and 80 percent that the animals have been treated humanely.

That dotted line break is there to see the significant difference break. The two items below, pasture exercise, are of significantly less importance to these consumers than the rest of it. Ιt doesn't mean it's not important to them. Ιt just means that it's less important. So you have 72 percent of organic dairy users that say that grazing in a pasture is important, but remember 87 said hormones and antibiotics and humane treatment.

When we look at these same numbers, and we're going to separate them now by ingredient issues and treatment of animal issues, and I broke this chart, it may be a

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

little hard to read in the back of the room, but you have got in the green chart -- in the green bar are organic dairy users. In the yellow bar are users of all other organic products who don't currently use organic dairy.

What you see first is that pretty much they think the same with less intensity, the only difference being where you see this little dotted box on those three measures, additives, antibiotics and organic feed. is where organic dairy users are significantly different from non-users. So these consumers that are in the category, in the general organic category, but not using organic dairy.

So we have got -- definitely, as we look at the ranking of numbers, we can see that ingredient issues are more important to consumers than treatment of animals and we have seen this pretty consistently. And, again, it's not to say that it's not important

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

because you could look at the numbers that are at the bottom, 66 to 75 percent even among non-users, and say, well, that's still a significant number to address. But as we look at where these things lie, what we wanted to try and connect within the regression analysis that you're going to see is what truly drives frequent usage.

We looked at those, their frequency and broke out οf usage, three groups, the super-heavy dailies, these are the consumers that drink or use organic dairy more than once a day, heavy users that are using one time a week or more, and light users that are less than once a week, and broke out the attributes by ingredients and also by treatment of animals.

As you look at the super-heavy users and the heavy users you can see much higher numbers, first of all, than the light users, which you would expect. You would expect that heavy users have more knowledge,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

which they appear to do. Where you see a small capital letter there, that indicates a significant difference. So amongst the heaviest users, they are more concerned about ingredients, additives, anything artificial being added to organic dairy and much more so than the other two groups.

When we look at pasture specifically, both of the heavy groups, the super-heavy dailies and the heavy users, more than once a week, are more concerned, significantly more concerned, and it's easy to see in that number here, than light users, 81 and 79 compared to 55.

But, again, this high number, 93, was really the most concern and that was about additives, any kind of artificial additives, and these would be concerned with organic dairy such as cheeses and things where they might be concerned about someone using an artificial color or flavor, something like that.

NEAL R. GROSS

As we again took a look at those numbers and we did some regression analysis against frequency of what usage, was determined in the card analysis -- and you look at this as a relative score. It's not a It's a relative score. percentage. relative to other measures, antibiotics and organic feed are the most significant drivers of frequent usage of organic dairy products. say it again. Relative to other I will measures, antibiotics and organic feed are the most important predictors of frequent organic milk and dairy usage.

Ιt drops off beyond that to and pasture. They drop off hormones in relative importance. They drop down about 16 percent. Again, it doesn't mean that it's not important, but relative to the frequent usage, and this confirmed for me what I heard in qualitative, that in one-on-one interviews it was about antibiotics and hormones and that consumers automatically think about organic

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

feed.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

in front of them and start asking them a lot of questions about it and what it is and what it isn't, of course they are going to say that animal is to be treated humanely and that animal needs to be outside and that animal needs to have this and the animal needs to have that. We're all pet lovers in the U.S. today and it's a really strong movement.

However, to do it in an unbiased way you need to put it into perspective of other attributes and do the regression analysis to see what truly is pushing frequent usage. For example, what you see here, humane treatment was at a relative score of only 43. It was less than half as important as no antibiotics and organic feed.

That is a number that you can't argue with and you have to take the qualitative with it. And remember that when you talk to your consumers, you have to talk

NEAL R. GROSS

to them in an unbiased manner to find out what is really on their mind and we can drive anything that we want. And I'll bet if I did this survey today, just because of the email that is being circulated about boycotts, I'm going to get some different answers. So we need to be responsible in what we're putting out there.

As we then took those consumers, the ones up here that said that pasture was important, had a top two box in score on pasture is important to these, to organic dairy, we asked them what it meant and, clearly, it means to be outside eating grass most days at least. 75 percent, 78 percent say outside every day or outside most days.

You can see that this really doesn't make sense to consumers as you ask it.

This was the very last question that they got. It was filtered off only to those who answered that pasture was important. So then we asked them what does that mean, and then

NEAL R. GROSS

you get a very clear answer that it does mean being outside.

So let's have some conclusions. Almost one-fourth of the population has used organic dairy products in the past year. Organic dairy users are significant users of other organic categories. Therefore, any changes to the rulings must be considered carefully to protect all organic categories not just organic dairy.

Almost half of organic dairy users use these products more than once per week. In general, ingredient issues and humane treatment are more important reasons for usage than grazing among organic dairy users. In general, grazing is more important to the daily and heavy users of organic dairy than to light users.

No antibiotics and the use of organic feed are the highest drivers of frequent use of organic dairy followed by no hormones and grazing. And among those who

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

thought grazing was important, it means to be outside eating grass.

And now I think we can take some questions. I'm going to stay here in case you want to see a chart. I have a feeling it will come up.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Andrea?

Andrea, Dan and Julie.

PARTICIPANT: I don't think it will reach.

MEMBER GIACOMINI: I'll go first, then we'll see if it gets down to Andrea. Dan Giacomini, NOSB, specifically to Margaret and Maryellen can comment, please. In your survey, your one question that you had a significant number on was majority of food from pasture.

Was that in the form of lettuce or de-hy or how much and how much confusion with the consumer do you think -- in our discussions being dry matter intake, as percentage of dry matter intake for the last

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

two days, where does that fit with your numbers?

me on— is this working? All right. Okay. Consumers are expecting that pasture is the default, that they only go in when it's inclement weather like very bad weather or when they are being milked. So I think that is the key here, is that, you know, they are not thinking of specific percentages. It's just that the default for pasture, the default for the animal is that pasture would be their living conditions.

Does that tell you enough or did you need more clarification?

MEMBER GIACOMINI: I just wanted clarification on whether -- making sure we're talking apples and apples and not apples and oranges.

PANELIST WITTENBURG: Of what is on a pasture or what?

MEMBER GIACOMINI: As far as your

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

question of the majority of food from pasture, which is at much less of a feed intake rate for pasture than 30 percent dry matter.

PANELIST WITTENBURG: Like I said, the question to the consumer was asking them how they felt pasture worked within an organic dairy system. They weren't -- they were to consider dry matter intake or Again, their feeling that dairy cows should be on pasture, should be getting a lot of their nutrient value from pasture, it's excluding supplemental feed. We didn't ask them that, but they are just saying that that's where they expect a dairy cow to be rather than inside.

MEMBER CAROE: Andrea Caroe, NOSB.

I have two questions. One, Maryellen, if you could just clarify. On one of the last charts that you showed, could you clarify that those drivers, those factors that the -- I think they were from your check all that apply on pasture, if you -- okay, those. Those, the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	participants that were in that study, were
2	only those that suggested pasture is
3	important? It's on.
4	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: The
5	particular question is at the end of the
6	survey and it's filtered off only to those
7	consumers who thought that pasture was
8	extremely or somewhat important.
9	MEMBER CAROE: So
10	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: What does
11	that mean?
12	MEMBER CAROE: So do you have the
13	percentage of the overall survey group then?
14	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: I would have
15	to go back and look at it. I don't know it
16	offhand.
17	MEMBER CAROE: But it would be
18	significantly less than this because it's only
19	a
20	small
21	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Oh, it would
22	be significantly less, yes.

MEMBER CAROE: Okay. So that was one clarification I just wanted to get. And then the other question I have, it appears from your data that unless pasture was put in front of the consumer, they just assumed that cows are pastured. I mean, I think that Joe and Mary Consumer's view is that cows are on pasture and unless you tell them otherwise, they are not going to bring up the issue.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Unless you tell them otherwise, they don't bring up the actually, issue. And, even in many interviews, even when I was only getting antibiotics and hormones -- excuse me, I have a little bit of a cold. As we only got answers in the interviews that it was all about antibiotics and hormones, as we even took them deeper and said, well, what about the animals and how they were treated, the word pasture never came up.

It just -- you know, it was really about organic feed and just in general humane

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

treatment. Unless I really kept digging and digging and digging, I didn't get to the pasture issue. So while I think that they have some perception in the back of their mind of what these things are and what they see in advertising and so forth, it's not the real reason why they drink and use organic dairy products.

MEMBER CAROE: Okay. So just to clarify, I mean, I don't think this is -- I think this is across the board, organic or conventional, that folks believe that cows eat grass.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: They think that their product is healthier.

MEMBER CAROE: Okay.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: But when we ask them what that means, they talk about antibiotics and hormones.

MEMBER CAROE: Okay. Then going to that, I don't know if you have any data on this and I want to ask if you have asked this

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

question, I think consumers probably expect that when it's snowing out that the cows are inside. You know, I mean, the reason I ask this is California has got a campaign through the Milk Order where, you know, it's egregious to have the cows out in the snow and they all go to California.

I mean, it's a popular campaign because consumers don't like the idea of the cows. Did you ask the questions? Did you drill down a little bit and say if it's between pasture and being out in inclement weather, which would you prefer or if it's between depleting the water supply and having

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: That's the answer. That's the way we asked it.

MEMBER CAROE: Okay.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Most days in season. We, in this particular research, did not take this any deeper than that.

MEMBER CAROE: I would be

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

interested to see.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: We can do that for anybody that is interested in paying for it. Big group here, you could all share it. Hey, by the way, you all need to say thank you to USDA because they just provided you some very valuable information.

(Applause)

MEMBER JAMES: Bea James, NOSB. My question is for you. Do you think that most consumers are educated enough to understand that organic should include With only 3 percent of pasture? the population consuming organic and natural foods, how can we make this assumption that 1,000 people is enough to be able to determine that pasture is not important?

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: It's not 3 percent. That number is wrong. The number that are consuming organic products using is 56 percent that have used any in the past year and in this one it was 53 percent have used in

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the past six months, and a quarter of them have used organic milk and dairy. MEMBER JAMES: No. What I mean is grocery industry is a \$550 billion the industry and of that industry about --PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: About sales. MEMBER JAMES: 3 percent of those people are consuming organic and natural foods, and your survey is only on --No, 10 PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: 11 number is wrong. It's 3 percent of sales not 3 percent of --12 13 MEMBER JAMES: 3 percent of sales. 3 PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: It's 14 percent of sales, not 3 percent of consumers. 15 16 MEMBER JAMES: Okay. I apologize, 17 3 percent of sales. So with that, I guess I 18 just -- my basic question is do you really feel that 1,000 people is enough to be able to 19 make an assessment? 20 21 PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Yes. The 22 answer is yes, yes, yes, yes. Let me tell you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Applause)

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: We do a survey of 50,000 consumers every quarter. I get the same answers on 50,000 that I get on 1,000 within the realm of accuracy, plus or minus 3 percent. It's plus or minus 3 percent. 50,000 is plus or minus .4 percent and we're within the same scale.

MEMBER JAMES: So you feel that consumers are --

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: It absolutely is.

MEMBER JAMES: -- educated and they understand what organic means?

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: I didn't say that. I can show you other research that we have that will show you where the information on consumers, where it's lacking. You can look at -- for example, we test within our health and wellness trend survey organic regulations and organic perceptions and

NEAL R. GROSS

desires for products, and you can clearly see that the elements that are regulated still are not perceived correctly by everybody, even by heavy organic users. It's getting better.

That's the good news, that it is getting better. Understanding of what the term organic means is important, but let me give you an example of that. You can ask consumers what's important or not important, what they want, and they will answer I want foods that are grown or that are without chemical fertilizers. processed without pesticides, 69 percent.

Then you ask them, they don't want artificial additives and it drops into the 50 percent. And then you can ask them another organic regulation that drops into the percent and then you can ask them do you want organically grown foods and it drops into the 30 percent. And the reason that there is such difference is they don't connect what organically grown the regulated means to

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

statements. They don't quite get the connection.

And we have been pounding this for quite awhile and OTA gets upset with us now and then, but it is what it is. And you can look at it among organic devoteds, the heaviest users of the category, and all those numbers go up into the 90 percentile. And look at it among temperates or dabblers and it drops into the 50 and the 30s. So you're bringing people along and educating and that's going to be the same thing that is going to happen here.

MEMBER KARREMAN: Question. Hugh You have a sliding scale Karreman, NOSB. here. If you were to ask organic consumers, I mean, it's kind of like breathing. You don't think about it. You know, I mean, cows are out there. They are grazing. That's -- you have to bring it up. But if you were to say you think organic COWS should be pasture, yes/no, do you think that would give

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a different result here?

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: This is in a ranked scale order. The question that is asked here is in a ranked scale order of what is important to them when they consider organic dairy products. There really isn't a better measure of ranked importance.

And probably, notice that the pasture number is 72 percent among organic users and hormones is at 87, more than likely you would get a similar answer about pasture. I don't think it's going to be a whole lot different than that and the only purpose here was to put it in perspective.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Julie?

MEMBER WEISMAN: This is Julie Weisman, NOSB. I wanted to look at the chart that has the regression analysis that puts them with the top being 100 and then working down.

Can you, please, review for me who does this include? Is this the general

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

population or are these organic users and if it's the organic users, are they the heavies, the moderates, the lights?

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: This is among organic users and what regression analysis took into account was all of the attribute measures and how they related to frequency. So it's not just the heavy users, it's all organic. So what you do in the regression it's almost like it's coding one analysis, answer against one person and how frequent they are, one answer in one, and you have to put it through the regression to get to it, to relative score and relative the get to rankings.

MEMBER WEISMAN: Okay. And then I had another piece of question, which may be for the program. How can we have access to this information beyond today?

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: This data will be posted on the website.

MEMBER WEISMAN: And what about

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

some --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Just so you all heard that answer?

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: No, I didn't.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: This data will be posted on the website.

MEMBER WEISMAN: Thank you.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Right, all of it. Say thank you again.

(Applause)

MEMBER ENGELBERT: Kevin Engelbert, NOSB. I would like to know why you differentiated between humane treatment of animals and pasture, because I believe consumers assume they are one and the same.

And I also would like you to comment again on the assumption that consumers have -- because every organic milk carton either states that the cows are pastured or it shows pictures of cows on pasture, that they have already made the assumption that that's

NEAL R. GROSS

where the cows are and that's why they didn't bring it up.

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Again, asking relative importance to why you use organic milk and dairy products and why you consider them, we asked humane treatment and pasture and exercise separately because they were words that were being bantered about, phrases that are being bantered about that are important to consumers, and we wanted to see if there was any difference.

What you see in this particular chart among organic dairy users is that humane treatment overall is significantly more important than being in a pasture. Are they still both important? Yes. I will say it again. They are still both important, but humane treatment, no hormones, no antibiotics, organic feed were more important.

There is a lot of different ways to structure research. You could go back in and retest these consumers and ask a whole

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

other long slew, but USDA didn't have \$50,000 to spend, so we did it as to what was really important to get at and did the regression against all these to really determine among frequent users what was driving the frequent usage.

It's the best way to get at it in this type of methodology. Can you do it a lot of different ways? Sure. I can ask consumers 100 different ways and, you know, on some issues I'll get some different answers.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Joe?

PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: Have I --

MEMBER SMILLIE: Question for Joe Smillie, NOSB. What I want to Margaret. delve into a bit here is consumer expectations in the regulation and, you know, as a veteran of the Organic Twinkie debate, we can talk a lot about consumer expectations and whether they meet the regulation or whether the regulation should try and meet expectations or that really a lot of the role

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of what we need to do is consumer education.

Whole Foods is a leader in meeting consumer expectations and in educating the consumer and you have played that role very well. And I think on this issue I would like to find out, not only for yourself, but speaking for the retail community, how you would strive to affect that balance.

Again, I didn't want to confuse about talking the people about Organic Twinkie, but there's many consumers who do not feel that organic should certifying be alcohol, tobacco or white sugar or white flour and their expectation is that organic food as a consumer, you know, it's a healthy food. And, yet, the regulation, I think, is clearly based on an agricultural methodology, that it's the way you grew the sugarcane to create the white sugar, what the regulation is pinned on.

So in your position at Whole Foods and also your position as a spokesperson for a

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

retailer and a previous NOSB member, how would you see the retailing community, the balance between meeting consumer expectations, which may not be always accurate, and educating the consumer as to what organic really stands for in the sense of the regulation?

PANELIST WITTENBURG: Okay. Well, first of all, I think we all have to really remember the other big bottom line here of what is organic and I think, Joe, you said it, organic agriculture, the soil. You know, the soil is a really important part of this, the regeneration of the soil. That is the basis of organic and we can't lose that. And I think part of that is then educating consumers more about organic.

You know, we and all the other retailers, part of our job has been to tell people to really define more for them what does organic really mean and really educate them in all aspects of that. That is part of what we do. And what we don't want to have to

NEAL R. GROSS

do is have to do our own certification work and go out there and make sure that this meets the standards of what we think the intent of organic should be.

I just loved in the previous, with the farmer/producer group, when they said the intent of organic, the intent of having a pasture is the -- at Whole Foods Market we call it the soul, you know, the soul of organic. It has to be there and that's what we're looking for, and I think that educating the consumers what that means is very, very important.

You know, certainly, you know, any marketing study that we have seen for years and years has shown that, you know, the organic consumer, it's all about me, you know, what is my health and all that, but you have to educate them here's what organic is really about. It's the soul of the soil and it's really important to understand that and then educate them about that.

NEAL R. GROSS

And then part two on that. When you're getting an animal involved, an animal is not a piece of corn. It's a sentient animal, you know, and we really need to understand that. So we have both the soil and the welfare of the animal and really truly looking at the welfare of the animal. What is in their best interest? What would they do on a natural basis? You know, are they going to want pasture? Of course they are.

You know, so, you know, keeping that in consideration and educating our customers about that, too, that's part of our role as a retailer. I know, you know, there's several retailers of us in this room and would say the very same thing. That's part of what our role is. We don't just sell groceries. We also educate.

(Applause)

MEMBER MOYER: I have one quick question, if I could.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: I think one

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	more from NOSB and we're going to have to
2	MEMBER MOYER: This is going to be
3	a very short question or a very short answer,
4	I hope. in light of what we're discussing
5	here, this question is to both of you.
6	For those responders to your
7	surveys who did say pasture was an important
8	issue, would the proposed rule change that we
9	have on the table now satisfy them?
10	FACILITATOR ANDERSON: 120, 30, is
11	that what you mean?
12	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: The consumers
13	
14	MEMBER MOYER: Yes.
15	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: The consumers
16	in the survey do not understand 120 days.
17	MEMBER MOYER: Right, but would
18	that
19	PANELIST MOLYNEAUX: 30 percent.
20	They don't. They really do not have an
21	understanding of that and I would say, based
22	on other research that we have done about

regulations and so forth, that it would take a long time for them to get it. It doesn't mean it shouldn't be done. It just means it's going to take a long time for consumers to understand it.

MEMBER MOYER: Sure.

PANELIST WITTENBURG: Right. I think there again, the expectation is that the pasture is the basis of the animals' living conditions and I think that's the key thing for the consumer. They don't get the percentages and this and that.

MEMBER MOYER: Sure, I understand that.

panelist wittenburg: They are just going to -- they are going to assume that that is the default and then expect their certifiers to be able to check to make sure that is being done. You know, I think that's the key.

MEMBER MOYER: Thank you.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: I'm going

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to actually call for a close of this, not because there are no -- there are really a lot of very, very good, excellent questions here, but in order to be able to start the National Organic Standards Board meeting on time at 1:00 and make it possible for as many consumer or public input as possible, I'm going to turn the meeting over the Mark for just a second.

MR. BRADLEY: Yes. We would just like to thank all the participants for the pasture symposium.

(Applause)

MR. BRADLEY: We need to be back here in this meeting room at 1:00, so that we can start some semblance of on time. It's going to make kind of a short lunch break, but we have a lot of public comment and we have just a few notes from NOP and the program to get covered.

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Well, always being one who wants the last word, I do have a couple of comments and I would like to

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-- if I could, just some closing remarks.

These have been really dynamic discussions and it has been an excellent process, and I really want to recognize NOP for having the courage and willingness to really come out and seek the answers. This is a long, deliberative process.

(Applause)

FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Remind everyone that actually NOP won't be the ultimate sole arbiter of what this rule looks like, because it will go to the organic, to the Office of the General Counsel. It will go to Office of Management and Budget. So there is a process way beyond even NOP.

And then, thirdly, I, too, want to thank the participants and particularly the farmers and some who traveled from all over the country. And I want to also mention that one of the farmers who is here, just to give you an idea of the passion and importance of this, traveled the shortest distance under the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

hardest conditions and Roman and Lucy Stoltzfoos are here and their barn burned down two months ago. So just to let you know this is a big, big issue and is very important to people on the land. And, finally, I want to, to the NOSB, say thank you and to NOP for getting out of dodge and coming out in the countryside, so that there is access to -- so more people have access to these meetings and you have access 10 11 to more people on the land, so I would encourage you to do it. We did it a lot in 12 13 the early days. It was the best part of the 14 process for us. MR. BRADLEY: And, Bob, thank you 15 very much for your role in this. 16 17 PARTICIPANT: Yes. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Excellent job. (Applause) 19 20 FACILITATOR ANDERSON: Meeting adjourned. 21

NEAL R. GROSS

(Whereupon, the symposium

22

was

concluded at 12:33 p.m.)

:

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701